```
1
                 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
               FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
 3
 4
    M.B.,
                                 : CIVIL ACTION
 5
              Plaintiff,
 6
              VS.
   ROOSEVELT INN LLC, et al., : NO. 21-2984
 7
 8
              Defendants.
9
10
    K.R.,
                                 : CIVIL ACTION
11
              Plaintiff,
12
              VS.
13
    ROOSEVELT INN LLC, et al., : NO. 21-3218
14
              Defendants.
15
16
17
   C.A.,
                                 : CIVIL ACTION
18
              Plaintiff,
19
              vs.
   ROOSEVELT INN LLC, et al., : NO. 21-3222
20
21
              Defendants.
22
23
24
   (CONT.)
25
```

```
1
   (CONT.)
 2
 3
    B.H.,
                                 : CIVIL ACTION
 4
              Plaintiff,
 5
              VS.
   ROOSEVELT INN LLC, et al., : NO. 21-3225
 7
              Defendants.
 8
 9
    A.H.,
                                 : CIVIL ACTION
10
              Plaintiff,
11
              vs.
12
    ROOSEVELT INN LLC, et al., : NO. 21-3277
13
              Defendants.
14
15
16
   C.A.,
                                 : CIVIL ACTION
17
              Plaintiff,
18
              VS.
19
    WYNDHAM WORLDWIDE
                                   NO. 21-3392
    CORPORATION, et al.,
20
              Defendants.
21
22
23
     (CONT.)
24
25
```

```
1 (CONT.)
 2
 3
   В.Н.,
                               : CIVIL ACTION
 4
             Plaintiff,
 5
             VS.
                              : NO. 21-3396
 6
    WYNDHAM WORLDWIDE
    CORPORATION, et al.,
 7
             Defendants.
 8
9
10
    K.R.,
                                  CIVIL ACTION
                                :
11
             Plaintiff,
12
             VS.
13
    WYNDHAM WORLDWIDE
                              : NO. 21-3401
   CORPORATION, et al.,
14
15
             Defendants.
16
17
    A.H.,
                               : CIVIL ACTION
18
             Plaintiff,
19
             VS.
20
    WYNDHAM WORLDWIDE
                              : NO. 21-3430
21
   CORPORATION, et al.,
22
             Defendants.
23
24
    (CONT.)
25
```

```
1
   (CONT.)
 2
    A.H.,
                                   : CIVIL ACTION
 3
               Plaintiff,
 4
               vs.
 5
    ROOSEVELT INN, LLC, et al., : NO. 21-3914
 6
               Defendants.
 7
 8
 9
                            PHILADELPHIA, PA
10
                           SEPTEMBER 29, 2021
11
    BEFORE: THE HONORABLE GENE E.K. PRATTER, J.
12
                      HEARING ON MOTION FOR REMAND
13
    APPEARANCES:
14
                    KLINE & SPECTER PC
                    BY: EMILY B. MARKS, ESQUIRE
15
                    NADEEM A. BEZAR, ESQUIRE
                    1525 Locust Street
16
                    Philadelphia, PA 19102
                    For Plaintiffs M.B., C.A., B.H., K.R.
17
18
                    DILWORTH PAXSON LLP
                    BY: PETER C. HUGHES, ESQUIRE
19
                    1500 Market Street
                    Philadelphia, PA 19102
20
                    For Plaintiffs M.B., C.A., B.H., K.R.
21
                    SALTZ MONGELUZZI BARRETT & BENDESKY, PC
22
                    BY: K. ANDREW HEINOLD, ESQUIRE
                    1650 Market Street, 52nd Floor
23
                    One Liberty Place
                    Philadelphia, PA 19103
24
                    For Plaintiff A.H.
25
                    (CONT.)
```

| 1 | APPEARANCES: | (CONT.) |
|----|--------------|---|
| 2 | | BLANK ROME LLP BY: CHARLES S. MARION, ESQUIRE |
| 3 | | JUSTINA LEE BYERS, ESQUIRE One Logan Square |
| 4 | | 18th & Cherry Streets Philadelphia, PA 19103 |
| 5 | | For Roosevelt Inn, Roosevelt Motor Inn, UFVS, Yagna Patel |
| 6 | | orvo, ragna racer |
| 7 | | K&L GATES LLP BY: DANIEL M. ELIADES, ESQUIRE |
| 8 | | One Newark Center, 10th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 |
| 9 | | For Wyndham Defendants, Days Inn Worldwide, Inc. |
| 10 | | DLA PIPER LLP |
| 11 | | BY: NATHAN P. HELLER, ESQUIRE 1650 Market Street, Suite 5000 |
| 12 | | Philadelphia, PA 19103 For Wyndham entities |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN BY: MELANIE J. FOREMAN, ESQUIRE |
| 15 | | THOMAS P. WAGNER, ESQUIRE 2000 Market Street, Suite 2300 |
| 16 | | Philadelphia, PA 19103 For Apha-Centurion in M.B. case |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | SAUL EWING LLP BY: MATTHEW JUDSON SMITH, ESQUIRE |
| 19 | | 1500 Market Street Center Square West, 38th Floor |
| 20 | | Philadelphia, PA 19102 For Eighty Eight, L.P. |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | MCCORMICK & PRIORE, P.C. BY: DAMALI K. MARTIN, ESQUIRE |
| 23 | | 2001 Market Street, Suite 3810 Philadelphia, PA 19103 For 4200 Roosevelt Blvd., 4200 Rose Hospitality |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | (CONT.) |

| 1 | APPEARANCES: | (CONT.) |
|----|--------------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | DEASEY MAHONEY VALENTINI NORTH, LTD BY: HARRY G. MAHONEY, ESQUIRE 1601 Market Street, Suite 3400 |
| 4 | | Philadelphia, PA 19103 For American Motor Inns, Inc. |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | COZEN O'CONNOR BY: JACQUELINE PROMISLO, ESQUIRE |
| 7 | | 1650 Market Street, Suite 2800 Philadelphia, PA 19103 |
| 8 | | For Alpha-Centurion in A.H. case |
| 9 | | GEDARIEW ROMAN GERMANA & WALING |
| 10 | | STRADLEY RONON STEVENS & YOUNG BY: ADAM C. SASSO, ESQUIRE 2005 Market Street Suite 2600 |
| 11 | | Philadelphia, PA 19103 For Alpha-Centurian in the C.A., B.H., K.R. cases |
| 12 | | ror Arpha Centurran in the C.A., D.n., K.K. Cases |
| 13 | | POST & SCHELL, P.C. BY: CHARLES W. SPITZ, ESQUIRE |
| 14 | | 1600 John F. Kennedy Blvd. Four Penn Center |
| 15 | | Philadelphia, PA 19103 |
| 16 | | For 4200 Rose Hospitality, 4200 Roosevelt Blvd. in the A.H. case |
| 17 | | BENNETT BRICKLIN & SALTZBURG |
| 18 | | BENNETT BRICKLIN & SALIZBURG BY: CATHERINE DESILVESTER, ESQUIRE West Tower Center Square |
| 19 | | 1500 Market Street |
| 20 | | Philadelphia, PA 19103 For Ritz Hotel Group in B.H, C.A. cases |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | THOMAS, THOMAS & HAFER, LLP BY: KATHERINE M. PRUDENTE, ESQUIRE 1012 N. Bethlehem Pike |
| 23 | | Spring House, PA 19477 For Ramara, Inc. in the C.A. and B.H. cases |
| 24 | | FOI Mamara, THE. THE C.A. AND D.A. CASES |
| 25 | | (CONT.) |

| 1 | APPEARANCES: | (CONT.) | | |
|------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | MAGOLIS EDELSTEIN BY: MEGHAN SANDORA, ESQUIRE 170 S. Independence Mall W Suite 400 E Philadelphia, PA 19106 For Ashoka Investment & Management in the B.H and C.A. cases | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | KATHLEEN FELDMAN, CSR, CRR, RPR, CM | | |
| 9 | | Official Court Reporter James A. Byrne U.S. Courthouse 601 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19106 | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | (215) 779-5578 | | |
| 12 | (Transcri | cript produced by machine shorthand via C.A.T.) | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| ∠ ⊃ | | | | |

8

```
(Deputy Clerk opened court)
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Hello, please take your seats.
 3
     Whoever's going to be arguing, please come up front and if
 4
     you're all going to argue, you can stay there and be
 5
     uncomfortable. Well, to add to your come-back-to-court
     experience today, I've arranged for a criminal case jury to
 6
 7
     finish their deliberations. I'm told they've reached a
 8
    verdict and they're going to be coming in and delivering a
 9
     verdict so you all get the extra thrill of watching what you
10
    may never have seen before which is a 10b-5 securities fraud
11
     criminal case come to a conclusion. So, you know, I don't
12
     know whether you're going to put that on your timesheets or
13
    not, but there we go. Speaking of which, it looks like it's
14
     full employment oral argument set aside for the issues posed
15
    by the motion for a remand in the case and I'm just going to
16
    use the name Roosevelt Inn at Docket Number 21-2984 to refer
     to all the various records, but just to note for the record,
17
18
     it's Captions 2984, 3392, 3222, 3396, 3225, 3401, 3218, 3430,
19
     3277, 3914. If I missed any of your cases, it was
20
     inadvertent, but let me know. I am happy to listen to you in
     any order that you'd like, presumably you know somebody's
21
    planning to do something, so we don't have to have a lot of
22
23
     repetition particularly since I will be holding you up a
24
     little bit to take this verdict. The cases were removed and
25
     then the plaintiffs filed motions for remand. So I would
```

- 1 assume I will first hear from the plaintiffs who wish to have
- 2 the cases returned to State Court, but if it works better for
- 3 you all to do it in some other fashion, I assure you that if I
- 4 can't follow along, certainly my law clerk can.
- 5 How would you like to proceed?
- 6 MS. MARKS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Emily Marks
- 7 from Kline & Specter on behalf of the plaintiffs M.B., C.A.,
- 8 B.H. and K.R. I think it makes sense as plaintiffs' counsel
- 9 to go first.
- 10 THE COURT: Fine. Let me first then take a full
- 11 attendance. I am actually looking at this long list where
- 12 you've already done the sign-in, but I want to give you all a
- 13 chance to use your vocal cords and Ms. Feldman here will take
- 14 down the names of everybody who is here.
- 15 So, Ms. Marks, I have you already. Who else?
- MR. HUGHES: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Peter
- 17 Hughes, Dilworth Paxson LLP, also for M.B., C.A., B.H. and
- 18 K.R.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. HEINOLD: Good afternoon, Your Honor. K. Andrew
- 21 Heinold of Saltz Mongeluzzi & Bendesky for the plaintiff A.H.
- 22 THE COURT: Thank you. Would you mind if I just
- 23 said hello to all of you at the end as opposed to each of you
- 24 individually? Okay, let's keep going.
- MR. MARION: Charles Marion and my colleague,

- 1 Justina Byers, we're with Blank Rome, on behalf of we call
- 2 them the Roosevelt Defendants, the debtors, and the related
- 3 nondebtors, Roosevelt Inn, Roosevelt Motor Inn, UFVS
- 4 Management and Yagna Patel.
- 5 MR. ELIADES: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Dan
- 6 Eliades, K&L Gates, for Wyndham Worldwide Corporation, Wyndham
- 7 Hotel Group, LLC, Wyndham Hotels and Resorts, Inc., Wyndham
- 8 Hotel Management, Inc., and Days Inn Worldwide, Inc.
- 9 MS. FOREMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Melanie
- 10 Foreman and my colleague, Tom Wagner, from Marshall Dennehey
- on behalf of Alpha-Centurion Security Inc. in the M.B.
- 12 lawsuit.
- 13 MR. SMITH: Good morning, Your Honor. There aren't
- 14 enough seats up there so I was just hanging back here.
- THE COURT: Actually, in my world, it's afternoon.
- MR. SMITH: Oh. Good afternoon. Matthew Smith from
- 17 Saul Ewing on behalf of additional defendant, Eighty Eight,
- 18 L.P.
- 19 THE COURT: I should have, when we started here, I
- 20 should have explained that the protocol I've been following in
- 21 this courtroom is that when you speak, you can take your masks
- 22 off as long as those who are next to you are satisfied with
- 23 that and, of course, if you are as well. We've had no problem
- 24 with that, but I leave it to you all, but it does make it a
- 25 little easier for Ms. Feldman to understand what's going on.

- 1 Okay. Next, sir.
- 2 MR. BEZAR: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Nadeem
- 3 Bezar from Kline & Specter on behalf of the plaintiffs that
- 4 Ms. Marks has identified.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay.
- 6 MS. MARTIN: Good afternoon. Damali Martin,
- 7 McCormick Priore, on behalf of 4200 Roosevelt Boulevard and
- 8 4200 Rose Hospitality.
- 9 MR. MAHONEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Harry
- 10 Mahoney, M-A-H-O-N-E-Y, from Deasey Mahoney, on behalf of
- 11 American Motor Inns, Inc.
- MS. PROMISLO: Good afternoon. Jacqueline Promislo,
- 13 Cozen O'Connor, for the defendant Alpha-Centurian in the A.H.
- 14 case.
- 15 MR. SASSO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Adam Sasso,
- 16 Stradley Ronon Stevens & Young, here on behalf of defendant
- 17 Alpha-Centurian in the C.A., B.H. and K.R. cases.
- 18 MR. SPITZ: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Charles
- 19 Spitz from Post & Schell and I represent 4200 Rose Hospitality
- and 4200 Roosevelt Boulevard in the A.H. case.
- 21 MR. HELLER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Nathan
- 22 Heller from DLA Piper on behalf of Wyndham Hotels and Resorts
- 23 and the other Wyndham entities that Mr. Eliades, my cocounsel,
- 24 already put on the record.
- THE COURT: Okay.

- 1 MS. DESILVESTER: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
- 2 Catherine DeSilvester of Bennett Bricklin & Saltzburg on
- 3 behalf of the Ritz Hotel Group in the B.H. and C.A. matter.
- 4 MS. PRUDENTE: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
- 5 Katherine Prudente with Thomas, Thomas & Hafer on behalf of
- 6 Ramara, Inc. in the C.A. and B.H. matter.
- 7 MS. SANDORA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Meghan
- 8 Sandora, Margolis Edelstein, on behalf of Ashoka Investment &
- 9 Management in the B.H. and C.A. matters.
- 10 THE COURT: All right, I think I've got everybody.
- 11 If I've skipped you or you all are hiding behind somebody
- 12 else, let me know now. Very well, I guess I'd like to hear
- 13 then from the plaintiffs on the argument of remand and then I
- 14 suppose, although I don't want anybody to feel frustrated
- 15 here, if there are some unique arguments on behalf of any
- 16 particular defendant, then we can have more than one argument,
- 17 but presumably you all have interacted with each other and so
- 18 I'm quessing, partially hoping, but quessing that there's
- 19 going to be one primary argument on behalf of the defendants
- 20 as opposed to one for each of you that would be duplicative.
- But, if not, I'm here to serve.
- Okay. Go ahead.
- 23 MS. MARKS: Your Honor, plaintiffs M.B., C.A., B.H.
- 24 and K.R. seek remand of the state civil actions pursuant to
- equitable remand 28 U.S.C. 1452(b) or, in the alternative,

- 1 permissive abstention per 28 U.S.C. 1334(c)(1).
- 2 THE COURT: What would that accomplish if I did the
- 3 permissive abstention? What would that really do for anybody?
- 4 MS. MARKS: Your Honor, I think it would result in
- 5 the same result for equitable remand which is the return of
- 6 these cases to State Court where they belong.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. Sorry.
- 8 MS. MARKS: Your Honor, my clients are seeking that
- 9 their cases be remanded to State Court in these cases because
- 10 this is where their cases were filed. This is where their
- 11 cases were commenced. This is where their cases have been
- 12 aggressively litigated for years. And plaintiffs chose to
- 13 have their cases heard by citizens of Philadelphia County who
- 14 have a vested interest in the outcome of these cases that
- 15 involve the sexual exploitation of these young women, who at
- 16 the time were children, at three Philadelphia hotels. These
- 17 cases could not have been brought in Federal Court at all
- 18 without the Roosevelt Defendants', the debtors, filing of
- 19 bankruptcy, a bankruptcy that was filed after trial had
- 20 commenced in the M.B. case in an effort to halt that trial and
- 21 to halt the other litigation as well as engage in forum
- 22 shopping.
- 23 THE COURT: Well, that smacks of an unstated, but
- 24 unmistakable, as well, argument of potential bad faith on the
- 25 part of the defense. Is that what you're really going -- do

- 1 you really want to make that allegation?
- 2 MS. MARKS: I'm not making that allegation, Your
- 3 Honor. I'm simply saying what we have before us is evidence
- 4 that what the Roosevelt Defendants were trying to do was to
- 5 stop the trial and to engage in forum shopping.
- 6 THE COURT: Well, those sound very, if not bad, then
- 7 at least on the way to be bad faith from a legal argument
- 8 standpoint.
- 9 MS. MARKS: Well, Your Honor, what I say, I don't
- 10 say lightly, but I don't think we need to get to any
- 11 accusations about bad faith. What I'm saying is, though, what
- 12 it is clear happened was that the bankruptcy was filed for
- 13 purposes of stopping the trial and that's not the first time
- 14 that the Roosevelt Defendants had tried to stop the trial in
- 15 the M.B. case. They filed a motion to continue the trial
- 16 which was denied by Judge Cohen. They also filed a motion to
- 17 change venue in the form of a motion in limine which was also
- 18 denied by Judge Cohen.
- 19 THE COURT: As I understand it, they tried, I guess,
- 20 twice to postpone or move the trial if I understand the
- 21 arguments correctly prior to bankruptcy. So maybe on the
- 22 continuum from wherever to bad faith, there is a stop -- a
- 23 gamesmanship as a point in all of this behavior. Is that
- 24 where you are on that point?
- MS. MARKS: Absolutely, Your Honor.

- 1 THE COURT: Okay, why is that bad?
- MS. MARKS: Your Honor, because it's manipulation of
- 3 the court system to deprive the plaintiffs of their choice of
- 4 forum and venue which was the Philadelphia Court of Common
- 5 Pleas.
- 6 THE COURT: So how have you been prejudiced? How
- 7 did each plaintiff get prejudiced that you're at least
- 8 concerned with?
- 9 MS. MARKS: Your Honor, the plaintiffs have been
- 10 prejudiced because these cases belong in State Court. Again,
- 11 this is where they chose to have their case.
- 12 THE COURT: Have we not been nice to you here?
- 13 What's the problem?
- MS. MARKS: No, Your Honor, I certainly don't say
- 15 that.
- 16 THE COURT: No, that sounds facetious. I don't mean
- 17 that, of course, but I'm actually looking for some explanation
- 18 of what is the prejudice in terms of two forums. I would
- 19 think that you might be willing to talk about the timing and
- 20 the investment and getting ready and on the eve of trial, et
- 21 cetera, and that has a -- that smacks of prejudice as opposed
- 22 to some ephemeral notion of the difference between "State
- 23 Court" and "Federal Court."
- MS. MARKS: Yes, Your Honor, I can respond to that.
- 25 So with regard to the M.B. case, jury selection was scheduled

- 1 for June 17th. The trial was first assigned to Judge
- 2 Cunningham and then reassigned to Judge Stella Tsai. The
- 3 parties filed approximately 40 motions in limine and
- 4 responses. Counsel for the parties toured the courtroom where
- 5 the trial was going to be heard and the trial testimony of
- 6 additional defendant, Daiquan Davis, was videotaped at the
- 7 request of the Roosevelt Defendants. When that testimony went
- 8 poorly, they filed for bankruptcy just hours before jury
- 9 selection. That case, Your Honor, the M.B. case had been
- 10 litigated since 2017, so quite some time, and this wasn't a
- 11 bankruptcy that was just filed on the verge of trial. It was
- 12 actually filed after trial had started. As I had mentioned,
- 13 the Roosevelt Defendants' efforts to, you know, halt that
- 14 litigation didn't just begin with the bankruptcy. There had
- 15 been a motion to change venue and also a motion to continue
- 16 trial. They have done everything that they could to deny
- 17 plaintiffs their choice of forum.
- 18 As far as the other cases and the investment in the
- 19 State Court actions, those cases, although they did not have
- 20 trial dates, they were aggressively litigated for years and
- 21 were nearing completion. Contrary to the Roosevelt
- 22 Defendants' assertion that discovery in those cases was
- 23 somewhat postponed due to the pandemic, that's not accurate.
- 24 There were nearly 20 depositions taken of desk clerks,
- 25 managers, former employees who were tracked down who were

- 1 working for the North American Motor Inns, as that particular
- 2 hotel had been sold during the pendency of the litigation. So
- 3 the discovery in those cases, because the discovery as far as
- 4 the Roosevelt Hotel was complete with regard to the M.B. case,
- 5 was focusing on the other two hotels. The defendants took my
- 6 clients' depositions over two days. They were grilled.
- 7 THE COURT: But all that would be imported into a
- 8 federal lawsuit, would they not? The idea that it would be
- 9 removed doesn't mean we reset the clock and everything starts
- 10 all over.
- 11 MS. MARKS: Your Honor, but the State Court is
- 12 intimately familiar with these cases and everything that has
- 13 transpired --
- 14 THE COURT: Why do different judges have those
- 15 cases, have all the cases?
- MS. MARKS: Your Honor, initially, the M.B. case, I
- 17 believe, some of the decisions were made by Judge Cohen and
- 18 Judge Shelley Robins New and then we had the trial assignment.
- 19 As far as the C.A., B.H. and K.R. matters, initially Judge
- 20 Anders was presiding over those cases and when he became the
- 21 supervising judge, the matters were reassigned to Judge
- 22 Carpenter. So the Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas judges
- 23 have expended countless hours resolving too many discovery --
- THE COURT: That conjures up this sort of
- 25 institutional memory as opposed to what we have here which is

- 1 the single docket. No, I understand the point you're making,
- 2 but I think it's hard to say. It's really not an equivalent
- 3 of saying that one judge has some sort of arguable mastery
- 4 over the facts and the background of the case as opposed to
- 5 the institution of the Court of Common Pleas.
- 6 MS. MARKS: Your Honor, if I could provide some
- 7 background information about the particular cases and so
- 8 M.B. -- and that may also bring light to why it's so important
- 9 that the cases be tried in Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas
- 10 where, again, the plaintiffs chose to have their cases heard.
- 11 So M.B. was sold for sex at the Roosevelt Inn when
- 12 she was just 14 years old. Plaintiffs C.A. and B.H. were also
- 13 sold for sex at the Roosevelt Inn as well as the Days Inn on
- 14 Roosevelt Boulevard and the North American Motor Inns, both
- 15 also Philadelphia hotels. The North American Motor Inns is
- 16 located -- was located on City Line Avenue and it was since
- 17 sold and now I believe not currently operating. The Days Inn
- 18 on Roosevelt Boulevard is part of the Wyndham brand of hotels.
- 19 The Wyndham defendants make up the largest brand of hotel
- 20 chains in the world. So we're dealing with all three hotels
- 21 that are Philadelphia well-known hotels where sex trafficking
- 22 has taken place. So as far as remander or abstention, which
- 23 is why we're here before Your Honor, the question that we have
- 24 is where these cases should be tried. So recently, Judge
- 25 Chan, who's presiding over the Bankruptcy Court matters, made

- 1 it clear that the automatic stay does not apply to the list of
- 2 other defendants not related to the Roosevelt Defendants. So
- 3 those cases can be -- can move forward. As I will explain to
- 4 Your Honor, the criteria for remand or abstention all favor
- 5 remand and the courts have broad discretion in remanding the
- 6 cases. And most courts have been guided by a seven-factor
- 7 test and I believe here that the parties agree that the seven
- 8 factor test which was set forth -- it's the Dieterly v. Boy
- 9 Scouts case that I'll refer to as the Boy Scouts case -- is
- 10 the criteria for remand, but that where the parties differ is
- 11 the applications of the facts to that criteria.
- 12 So, Your Honor, with respect to the criteria, one of
- 13 the first factors is the effect, whether remand will have the
- 14 effect on the efficient administration of the bankruptcy
- 15 cases. And in these cases, remand will have little or no
- 16 impact on the administration of the bankruptcy case. The
- 17 Bankruptcy Court cannot decide these personal injury cases.
- 18 They have to be heard in a court other than bankruptcy.
- 19 There's absolutely no efficiency to having these cases be
- 20 heard in the Federal District Court while there's a bankruptcy
- 21 court matter because the Bankruptcy Court can't simply hear
- 22 it.
- 23 The next criteria is the extent to which issues of
- 24 state law predominate. All of plaintiffs' claims arise under
- 25 Pennsylvania common law. As I mentioned, this case could not

- 1 have been brought in Federal Court. There's no federal
- 2 question of law and there's no diversity jurisdiction.
- 3 THE COURT: Is there anything unsettled about the
- 4 state law that you say is controlling here?
- 5 MS. MARKS: Well, Your Honor, certainly this is a
- 6 new area of the law in that although plaintiffs' claims are
- 7 personal injury claims, these are not run-of-the-mill motor
- 8 vehicle cases or slip and falls, but I certainly don't mean to
- 9 diminish the importance of those types of claims as they can
- 10 be quite complex.
- 11 THE COURT: I should say.
- MS. MARKS: These cases involve some of the first
- 13 application of common law negligence theories to holding
- 14 landowners and business operators accountable for the sexual
- 15 exploitation and the selling of girls for sex by third
- 16 parties.
- 17 So the Roosevelt Defendants have stated in filing
- 18 that these cases --
- 19 THE COURT: How is that different? I have seen a
- 20 number of cases where the hotel sublets out a portion to like
- 21 a spa and then there's some alleged personal tort by the
- 22 masseuse or the masseur and then the offended party sues not
- 23 only the spa, but also the hotel landlord. Is that
- 24 essentially -- I mean that theory seems to me to be
- 25 reminiscent of what you're saying.

- 1 MS. MARKS: It is reminiscent, Your Honor, but it's
- 2 different in that's these are sex trafficking cases.
- 3 THE COURT: Well, I understand that part. Okay.
- 4 All right.
- 5 MS. MARKS: Your Honor, I anticipate that the
- 6 Roosevelt Defendants will argue that these are not complex
- 7 matters that certainly can be tried in Federal Court, but in
- 8 State Court filings --
- 9 THE COURT: I'm sure there's some unintended slam
- 10 there somewhere, but go ahead.
- MS. MARKS: Well, the Roosevelt Defendants have
- 12 stated --
- 13 THE COURT: Even a federal judge can figure their
- 14 way through that. I get it.
- 15 MS. MARKS: No, Your Honor, I did not mean that, but
- 16 that, you know, the Roosevelt Defendants have argued in State
- 17 Court that these -- have agreed that these are complex issues,
- 18 these are complex cases. In their motions to continue trial,
- 19 they stated that -- in reference to the complexity of the
- 20 litigation and the enormity of the task of finding replacement
- 21 counsel. So their lead counsel, James Quinlan, who was a
- 22 partner of Blank Rome, withdrew his appearance and actually I
- 23 believe stopped working at Blank Rome just prior to the trial
- 24 so they were requesting additional time. In an affidavit
- 25 attached to that motion, Mr. Quinlan verified that, I'll

- 1 quote, "The file is one of the largest in size that I have
- 2 been challenged to handle during my 15 year-plus legal
- 3 career."
- 4 So, Your Honor, this is -- these are complex cases,
- 5 and, as I mentioned, much time and effort and many resources
- 6 that the Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas has invested in
- 7 these cases over the years.
- 8 With respect to another criteria which is comity,
- 9 Your Honor, in the Boy Scouts case that I referenced earlier,
- 10 the District Court deferred to the State Court in that case,
- 11 and that case, it had been pending in State Court for
- 12 approximately six months prior to its removal with the Court
- 13 ruling on -- in the State Court ruling on several motions.
- 14 The District Court in the Boy Scout case determined that the
- 15 Common Pleas Court was more familiar with the numerous factual
- 16 disputes. Here we have cases that have been pending for
- 17 years, one particular case where trial had commenced, and
- 18 certainly these cases have been -- are much further along than
- 19 the Boy Scout case was which was remanded back.
- The next criteria, Your Honor, is the degree of
- 21 relatedness or remoteness of the proceedings in the main
- 22 bankruptcy case and there may be some connection to the
- 23 bankruptcy case, but the Bankruptcy Court, Your Honor, as I
- 24 mentioned, can't hear these cases. So what we're left with is
- 25 where the cases should be tried.

- 1 The next criteria is the existence of a right to a
- 2 jury trial.
- 3 THE COURT: I have to interrupt just a second.
- 4 MS. MARKS: Sure.
- 5 THE COURT: The natural breakpoint here for this
- 6 jury would be when you are concluded with your preliminary
- 7 argument. Would you agree that sounds like a natural breaking
- 8 point?
- 9 MS. MARKS: Yes, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: When might that be?
- 11 MS. MARKS: Your Honor, I probably have a few more
- 12 minutes, but I understand.
- THE COURT: No, no, I'm unhappy enough at having
- 14 to interrupt you and hold you all up, but I really cannot let
- this jury sit in this other case and wait for the full panoply
- of all the arguments. I just don't want to interrupt you any
- 17 more that I already have.
- 18 MS. MARKS: You know, Your Honor, I wouldn't mind if
- 19 you need to conclude this matter. I understand.
- THE COURT: Okay. Well, my usual routine is after
- 21 you hear from your opponents, you get to pop back up and say
- 22 anything more you want to, all right? But what I would like
- 23 to know is will there be other plaintiffs' counsel arguing
- 24 differences on behalf of other plaintiffs?
- 25 MR. HEINOLD: Good afternoon, Your Honor. We

- 1 represent plaintiff A.H. We're the only other plaintiff
- 2 counsel. As you can hear, Ms. Marks is thoroughly analyzing
- 3 for the Court so unless Your Honor has specific questions to
- 4 us, we'll rely on what Ms. Marks says.
- 5 THE COURT: That's great. So how about if I just
- 6 stick with my usual protocol. After I hear from the defense
- 7 and you hear my questions of them, then you'll have a chance
- 8 to argue some more. Will that be okay?
- 9 MS. MARKS: Yes, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Thank you very much.
- Okay, Mr. Coyle, what do you need in terms of
- 12 timing?
- 13 THE DEPUTY CLERK: We're ready.
- 14 THE COURT: They're ready to come in?
- 15 THE DEPUTY CLERK: They're ready. Counsel is in the
- 16 witness room down here.
- 17 THE COURT: You can tell them to come on in here.
- 18 And the jury is in here? (Indicating)
- 19 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes.
- THE COURT: And I'll just ask you, folks, if you
- 21 wouldn't mind vacating your spots a bit.
- Just so you all have a point of context, this is a
- 23 ten-count criminal case involving Title 15 and Title 18
- 24 securities fraud.
- 25 (After recess:)

- 1 THE COURT: So there you go. That's the other side
- 2 of the fence. Do you want to come back on up? The excitement
- 3 of that case was one of the codefendants was a former Eagles
- 4 football player so that's what was interesting about that
- 5 case.
- 6 Which of the defendants would like to speak first?
- 7 MR. MARION: Your Honor, Charles Marion on behalf of
- 8 the debtors and related debtor parties.
- 9 THE COURT: Got it.
- 10 MR. MARION: Do you want me to use the podium or
- 11 stay here?
- 12 THE COURT: Whatever works best for you.
- MR. MARION: If it's okay, I'm going to use the
- 14 podium.
- 15 THE COURT: You might then want to turn it.
- MR. MARION: It's heavier than I thought.
- 17 THE COURT: That is, actually.
- 18 MR. MARION: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Charles
- 19 Marion, Blank Rome, on behalf of the debtor defendants, the
- 20 Roosevelt Inn, LLC and Roosevelt Motor Inn, Inc., and the
- 21 nondebtor-related parties which are UFVS Management Company,
- 22 LLC and Yagna Patel. And, Your Honor, I may refer to those
- 23 four defendants as the Roosevelt Defendants. They're all
- 24 affiliated with each other. Mr. Patel is the manager of the
- 25 hotel and they're all insureds or additional insureds under

- 1 the policies that may or may not provide coverage here.
- 2 Your Honor, the cases we removed to this Court are
- 3 absolutely related to the debtor's bankruptcy proceeding and
- 4 estate. In our brief, we gave the definition that an action
- 5 is related to a bankruptcy case where its outcome could
- 6 conceivably have any effect on the estate being administered
- 7 in bankruptcy.
- 8 THE COURT: Well, under your definition of what's
- 9 related, what would not be related?
- MR. MARION: I suppose, Your Honor, if there would
- 11 be a claim that may not have any impact on the debtor's
- 12 assets. I don't know what that may be.
- 13 THE COURT: What kind of claim would that be?
- 14 MR. MARION: That's a good question, Your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: The reason I ask is, you know,
- 16 related -- related can be either a loose or a tight reign.
- MR. MARION: Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: And somewhere there should be a
- 19 limitation on what is under the umbrella of "related."
- MR. MARION: Yeah, and I guess to flesh it out a
- 21 little bit more, Your Honor, the cases talk about if the
- 22 outcome of these cases will alter the debtor's rights,
- 23 liabilities, options, will impact on the handling and
- 24 administration of the bankrupt estate, then they're related.
- 25 And here we would argue they indisputably are related. The

- 1 plaintiffs obviously seek substantial amounts in damages in
- 2 the five pending cases. If they get a verdict in any one of
- 3 those five cases, it would probably greatly exceed the value
- 4 of the assets our client has which, Your Honor, really are
- 5 just the hotel. It's a mom and pop independent hotel on
- 6 Roosevelt Boulevard in Northeast Philadelphia. A negligible
- 7 amount of cash on hand and their insurance policies which, as
- 8 Your Honor knows, coverage has been denied, there's litigation
- 9 pending in this court before the Honorable Darnell Jones. It
- 10 could very well be that our clients don't have any insurance
- 11 coverage, but in the M.B. case, primary excess combined to 25
- 12 million policy limits, but, Your Honor, there's also
- 13 crossclaims in these cases with Alpha-Centurion, the security
- 14 company the hotel hired, where if Alpha were to get a
- 15 crossclaim verdict against my client, that would also impact
- 16 the assets of the estate. So we would contend that they're
- 17 clearly-related actions.
- 18 And, Your Honor, I wanted to mention the notice we
- 19 filed last Friday. I don't know if Your Honor saw it. There
- 20 were some orders recently ordered by Judge Chan in the
- 21 bankruptcy case, one of which granted our clients' motion for
- 22 basically a global mediation. All the parties and all their
- 23 insurers in these cases have agreed to participate in that
- 24 mediation. Judge Frank has been appointed as the mediator.
- 25 He's conducting an initial conference with everyone next

- 1 Friday, October 8th. There was also an order entered which we
- 2 submitted which has a bar date for claims, for tort
- 3 claims under --
- 4 THE COURT: November?
- 5 MR. MARION: Yes, November 30th, Your Honor. So we
- 6 anticipate the mediation, while Judge Frank is already at work
- 7 in trying to get the parties together for some preliminary
- 8 initial conferences, the actual probably multi-day mediation
- 9 will likely take place in December or January and Judge
- 10 Chan --
- 11 THE COURT: But she did not lift the stay, right?
- MR. MARION: Correct, Your Honor, she hasn't ruled
- on that yet. She put that off. She actually expressed a --
- 14 if I can -- I wasn't at the bankruptcy hearing, but as I
- 15 understand it as was reported to me, she strongly favors
- 16 having this mediation and hopes that it might, you know,
- 17 achieve some kind of resolution here.
- 18 THE COURT: Well, that would be unusual for a judge
- 19 to say.
- MR. MARION: But, Your Honor, keeping the cases in
- 21 this court would certainly, in our view, provide the most
- 22 efficient way to administer and coordinate these cases. Your
- 23 Honor's obviously already consolidated the cases for
- 24 administrative purposes. That could not have happened in the
- 25 State Court with five cases at different stages. The removal

- 1 we filed, Your Honor, was proper, it was timely, and it was in
- 2 good faith. It was not done lightly -- I'm sorry, the
- 3 bankruptcy, Your Honor. The removal was timely and properly
- 4 filed in good faith, but so was the bankruptcy proceeding. It
- 5 was not done for purposes of forum shopping. It was, rather,
- 6 for our clients to find the most orderly way to coordinate and
- 7 resolve all of these pending claims to allow them to
- 8 reorganize and go about continuing to operate its hotel.
- 9 Contrary to what Ms. Marks has argued, the trial in the M.B.
- 10 case had not yet commenced. As counsel said, jury selection
- 11 was scheduled for the next day, we don't dispute that, but the
- 12 trial had not certainly started. There was one trial
- deposition taken which the parties had started talking about
- 14 back in March of this year. The judge had only been assigned
- one day before the bankruptcy was filed and, Your Honor, five
- 16 days before we filed for bankruptcy, at the insurance
- 17 carrier's prompting, I asked plaintiffs' counsel for a written
- 18 settlement demand and it's in our papers, Your Honor. To that
- 19 point, they had only demanded policy limits, \$25 million, and
- 20 this was the Friday night before we filed for bankruptcy. On
- 21 June 11, plaintiffs' counsel sent a letter saying that they
- 22 would lower their settlement demand to \$24 million which, Your
- 23 Honor, as I said, the value of the hotel we think is only
- 24 probably worth about three and a half million or so. We don't
- 25 know if there's any insurance coverage here so that was

- 1 certainly one of the factors which made our clients consider
- 2 and ultimately decided to file for bankruptcy protection. Ms.
- 3 Marks mentioned motions we filed which she claims were filed
- 4 to try to avoid trial. That was not the case. As she herself
- 5 mentioned, our colleague, Jim Quinlan, our partner, who had
- 6 been -- literally attended every deposition in these cases and
- 7 been at every event decided to go in-house for a good
- 8 opportunity shortly before trial. He actually called
- 9 plaintiffs' counsel and plaintiffs' counsel actually suggested
- 10 to us that we might file a motion to continue the trial and
- 11 that they would only file a soft opposition. So it's a little
- 12 disingenuous for them to argue that we filed that to avoid
- 13 trial. We did file it, however, and we also did file a motion
- 14 to transfer venue because, Your Honor, when the plaintiffs
- 15 filed all of these cases, they went to the media, which is
- 16 their right, and there was a lot of negative publicity about
- 17 our clients' hotel. We -- it was a very extensive motion to
- 18 transfer venue. We had very real and legitimate concerns
- 19 about the jury being poisoned by this negative media
- 20 publicity. We attached multiple articles from different news
- 21 sources which really casted a negative light on our clients so
- 22 that was a very legitimate filing.
- 23 Your Honor, the Dieterly case that plaintiff relies
- on, while we do agree those are the factors that Your Honor
- 25 can consider in deciding whether to remand or abstain, that

- 1 was a very different situation. The Boy Scouts of America, a
- 2 huge organization, had a lot more assets than our client, a
- 3 mom and pop hotel. There were various cases removed from
- 4 different jurisdictions. The Dieterly case was from
- 5 Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas, but the bankruptcy was
- 6 filed in the District of Delaware so the comity issue was a
- 7 bit different there and even if you do apply the seven factors
- 8 from Dieterly as Ms. Marks went through, we contend that those
- 9 factors weigh in favor of your not remanding the case.
- 10 THE COURT: Well, run through them and explain that
- 11 to me. I think it seems to me that it's hard to tote up those
- 12 factors and say they argue in favor of the removal. So why
- don't you explain to me why --
- MR. MARION: Sure, Your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: -- my quick toting them up on my fingers
- 16 will --
- 17 MR. MARION: Okay, I'll be happy to do that. So the
- 18 first is the effect on the efficient administration of the
- 19 bankruptcy estate and we believe this factor weighs heavily in
- 20 favor of not remanding because it would be most efficient and
- 21 effective when it comes to the administration of the
- 22 bankruptcy estate in our view to keep these related cases --
- 23 THE COURT: They tell me they don't plan to enforce
- 24 a collective to get a judgment except in the bankruptcy so
- 25 they're not going to interfere with the bankruptcy.

```
MR. MARION: That's not exactly true -- well, they
 1
 2
     say that, Your Honor, but a judgment would change their status
 3
     to secured creditors. It would have significant impact on the
 4
     bankruptcy estate. So that is not accurate what they've said
     in that respect, but certainly the bankruptcy proceedings
 5
     being administered in this court, our clients' bankruptcy
 6
 7
     counsel, who was on one of the calls with Your Honor and
 8
     unfortunately could not be here today, had another hearing,
 9
     feels very strongly that it is important to keep these cases
10
     in this court to have the most efficient and effective way of
     administering them all. And as Your Honor --
11
12
               THE COURT: Why would not the speedier resolution
13
     actually facilitate the bankruptcy proceedings particularly
14
     given the fact that -- well, you've got the question of the
15
     insurance and so it's really unknowable until that's resolved
16
     what anybody would be looking to to collect from, right? I
    mean I'm just not quite seeing why Federal Court makes it a
17
18
    better forum from the administration of the bankruptcy than
19
     State Court because in either one, you know, let's say I kept
20
     the cases and I said, Okay, you guys are ready to try the
     case, let's go at it tomorrow or whatever, you know, two
21
     weeks. You still end up with a verdict one way or another and
22
23
    how does that -- so why would being here be better than being
24
     done the street?
```

MR. MARION: Well --

25

- 1 THE COURT: The administration of the bankruptcy
- 2 estate.
- 3 MR. MARION: I think, Your Honor, that obviously
- 4 Congress provided for removal in this kind of bankruptcy
- 5 situation and the Bankruptcy Court does take jurisdiction over
- 6 the claims, but cannot liquidate those tort claims so they
- 7 would have to be tried --
- 8 THE COURT: Right.
- 9 MR. MARION: -- and we believe it would be easier to
- 10 have them all tried in this court, the same court in which the
- 11 bankruptcy is pending.
- 12 THE COURT: But why?
- 13 MR. MARION: I mean I think, Your Honor, and not to
- 14 say anything bad about the State Court, but the other four
- 15 cases are not nearly -- I mean contrary to what Ms. Marks
- 16 said, I don't believe any of those are close to trial and, you
- 17 know, in some ways, the cases may get to trial more quickly in
- 18 Federal Court than the State Court. And I think that to have
- 19 them all in one forum, if I may, Your Honor --
- 20 THE COURT: Well, I'm just trying to figure out why.
- 21 I mean I understand a little bit of what you're saying, but
- 22 not in terms of saying that weighs in favor -- you know, why
- 23 this particular issue weighs in favor of the remand -- the
- 24 removal, I suppose, of the remand. I guess it gets a little
- 25 complicated as to the nondebtors. And would a remand harm the

- 1 estate administration if there is some issue about allocating
- 2 liability among defendants, I suppose, but I don't quite know
- 3 why -- I can't get my hands around the concept of why that
- 4 makes the removal better for the administration of the
- 5 bankruptcy estate than the remand.
- 6 MR. MARION: Well, I will say, Your Honor, the
- 7 Bankruptcy Code 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5), which we cited in our
- 8 opposition brief, says that the manifest purpose of that
- 9 section which we relied on is to centralize administration of
- 10 the estate and to eliminate the multiplicity of forums for the
- 11 adjudication of parts of the bankruptcy case. So, you know,
- in our view, it would be better. That factor would weigh in
- 13 favor of keeping the cases here because they would all be in
- 14 one forum.
- 15 THE COURT: Well, let's pose the following just to
- 16 test the theory.
- 17 Let's say there had not been a consolidation. So
- 18 let's say your worst nightmare is you get ten of us here at
- 19 6th & Market which is no different than having 10 down the
- 20 street, right, 10 judges?
- MR. MARION: I'm not so sure, Your Honor, because I
- 22 think in Federal Court there's more of an opportunity to have
- 23 them deal with related cases and, you know --
- 24 THE COURT: We don't have to.
- MR. MARION: You don't have to, no, that's true.

- 1 That's true.
- 2 THE COURT: That's what I'm saying. So imagine the
- 3 nightmare and you've got ten of us to deal with.
- 4 MR. MARION: I don't think it's a nightmare, Your
- 5 Honor. I think that would be fine.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay, so you get that mixed in. Do you
- 7 see what I'm saying? I'm not quite sure what is it about the
- 8 removal that makes it better for the bankruptcy
- 9 administration.
- 10 MR. MARION: Well, I will say that Judge Chan has
- 11 ordered this mediation and she actually has, even despite the
- 12 pendency of the insurance coverage litigation which is
- ongoing, has gotten all of the insurers and all the parties to
- 14 participate in that.
- 15 THE COURT: Is that mediation with Judge Frank
- 16 coordinated --
- MR. MARION: Yes -- I'm sorry.
- 18 THE COURT: -- with whatever Judge Jones may or may
- 19 not be doing? Is there any coordination at all?
- MR. MARION: Not that I'm aware of. Yeah, I don't
- 21 believe so.
- THE COURT: Have you guys told Judge Jones about it?
- 23 MS. BYERS: Your Honor, we don't represent the
- 24 debtors in the Judge Jones' action. I'm not certain if the
- 25 debtor counsel has notified Judge Jones of the mediation or

- 1 Judge Frank's participation.
- 2 THE COURT: Well, if all the insurers are going to
- 3 see Judge Frank -- or former retired, whatever he is, former
- 4 Judge Frank, I would simply recommend that it makes good sense
- 5 to bring Judge Jones up to speed on that. He might find that
- 6 to be a useful piece of information.
- 7 MR. MARION: I agree, Your Honor, and we'll advise
- 8 insurance counsel for our client. It may have happened. I
- 9 just don't know.
- 10 THE COURT: Let me put it this way. If I were Judge
- 11 Jones and I found out after the fact, I would be dismayed.
- MR. MARION: Okay. I'll make sure that's either
- 13 happened or will happen.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay, why don't you move to the
- 15 predominance of whether it's state law or not.
- MR. MARION: Well, as Your Honor, I believe, alluded
- 17 to, the issue or the claims, rather, in these cases are rather
- 18 straightforward. They're negligence and negligent infliction
- 19 of emotional distress. While trafficking cases may be a
- 20 relatively new area of the law, we're really talking, Your
- 21 Honor, about the well-settled Pennsylvania law and the duty of
- 22 an innkeeper to its guests.
- 23 THE COURT: Why does that not say that state law
- 24 predominates, in fact, it is the case and so it belongs in
- 25 State Court.

MR. MARION: Your Honor, I think that one may be a 1 2 I think Your Honor could certainly apply these state 3 law principles. We're not moving these cases to another 4 jurisdiction outside of Pennsylvania. It's still the same application of Pennsylvania law. So I would argue that that's 5 kind of a neutral factor here. And when it comes to comity, 6 7 which is one of the next factors, you know, as I said in the 8 Dieterly case, you were looking at a bankruptcy filed -- the 9 Dieterly case was pending in the Philadelphia Court of Common 10 Pleas as these cases were, but the bankruptcy was filed in 11 Delaware District Court and there were some issues relating 12 to, you know, across state or across different forums. 13 concerns aren't present here. I mean we're just talking about 14 cases that were either in Philadelphia State Court or 15 Philadelphia Federal Court so I don't think we have those 16 concerns in this case. 17 The degree or relatedness or remoteness of the 18 proceedings of the main bankruptcy case, this, Your Honor, is 19 one of the key differences from the Dieterly case. In that 20 case, the Court found that remand would have limited effect 21 upon the administration of the bankruptcy case, but here we really contend that it would hinder the efficient 22 23 administration because you have these tort claims before 24 different judges at different stages and they would all 25 conceivably, you know, if the plaintiffs win in any of these

- 1 cases have a very large impact on the bankruptcy case and the
- 2 bankruptcy estate. We cited law in our brief, Your Honor,
- 3 that where the outcome of the litigation could have a profound
- 4 effect on the assets in the bankruptcy estate, this factor
- 5 counsels strongly against remand.
- 6 The next one, Your Honor, is the existence of a
- 7 right to a jury trial which clearly is precedent in either
- 8 court.
- 9 And prejudice -- the last is the prejudice to being
- 10 voluntarily removed parties and there's really none here. The
- 11 other defendants in our cases have either consented to removal
- or have removed themselves. As Your Honor has seen, they
- 13 favor removal.
- And just on abstention, Your Honor, that really --
- 15 the law says it's the exception, not the rule, and there's,
- 16 you know, policy of -- some courts have held that abstention
- 17 would undercut the purpose of Section 157(b) of the Bankruptcy
- 18 Code and the process we follow to remove the case to this
- 19 Court.
- 20 So based on all these reasons, Your Honor, we
- 21 believe the cases should remain and the motion should be
- 22 denied.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. MARION: Thank you.
- 25 THE COURT: Actually, why don't you take another

- 1 minute or two to address again my concern that something just
- 2 doesn't feel right about the timing here.
- 3 MR. MARION: About the filing of the bankruptcy?
- 4 THE COURT: Yes.
- 5 MR. MARION: Well, Your Honor, I can tell you that
- 6 our clients deliberated for months, if not years, about filing
- 7 for bankruptcy and when we got closer to this trial and we did
- 8 engage in a court-ordered settlement conference in the Court
- 9 of Common Pleas and I think since the mediation in December
- of 2019 or thereabouts, the plaintiffs would not come down
- 11 from policy limits 25 million and, again, Your Honor this is
- 12 one case out of five pending cases. So it was quite clear --
- 13 THE COURT: All right, I understand it's just a
- 14 number and maybe that shocks somebody into saying, Well, let's
- 15 get off the dime here, you know, we've been dithering forever
- 16 about bankruptcy or no bankruptcy. Yikes, they seem to be
- 17 serious, yikes, we're starting tomorrow, yikes, where is that
- 18 Bankruptcy Court filing, but it seems to me that Roosevelt Inn
- 19 had no real estate that I can speak of or at least no mortgage
- 20 shown.
- MR. MARION: Right.
- 22 THE COURT: There are no liens that were there.
- 23 There's not much of an unsecured debt from what I can see.
- So other than the lawsuits, what prompted the
- 25 bankruptcy? It's the lawsuits.

MR. MARION: Well, the lawsuits and the denial of 1 2 insurance coverage, the exorbitant settlement demands. I mean 3 COVID had an impact on the hotel. The owners had told us, you 4 know, they were operating still but not -- barely staying above water from what we were told. So I think all those 5 factors combined and, you know, the settlement demand just 6 7 before trial was not an insignificant factor. It was, you 8 know -- it just really caused our clients to take that step. 9 THE COURT: Yes, but why should I not look at the 10 use of the Bankruptcy Code here as an effort to use the code 11 as a shield from liability? It's virtually a sword, by the 12 way, but let me just stick with the shield analogy. 13 shield from liability on the cases. MR. MARION: Well, it's a stay. I mean, it's an 14 15 automatic stay of the cases so the client can hopefully 16 reorganize. It was a Chapter 11 filing, not a Chapter 7. 17 They're not looking to liquidate, they're looking to 18 reorganize and continue in business and, you know, frankly, 19 Your Honor, in the bankruptcy proceeding, our clients are 20 pursuing a path where they want to take what assets they do 21 have or may have in insurance and create some sort of pool or trust for the legitimate claimants. You know, they were 22 23 looking at one case going to trial very soon where the demand 24 was so high and the risk of an adverse verdict was high. 25 weren't looking to avoid trial, but they were looking at, you

- 1 know, this could certainly, you know, liquidate or, you know,
- 2 they would be out of business in any event, I guess, so they
- 3 were looking for an opportunity to try to reorganize and
- 4 remain in business and, you know, it's something they're
- 5 entitled to do under the Bankruptcy Code. The timing perhaps
- 6 wasn't ideal, I won't disagree too strongly with that, Your
- 7 Honor. We were -- Ms. Byers and I were gearing up for trial
- 8 like other counsel. Believe me, we were working around the
- 9 clock. There was a separate lawyer who's handled the
- 10 bankruptcy, but, you know, it was a good-faith filing. I mean
- 11 it was not done for forum shopping or delay. It was really
- done so they could try to reorganize and continue in business.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. MARION: Thank you.
- 15 THE COURT: Do any of the other defendants want to
- 16 argue on the remand issue?
- MR. ELIADES: I would, Your Honor, on behalf of the
- 18 Wyndham Defendants.
- 19 THE COURT: Sure. Come on up.
- MR. ELIADES: My glasses were all fogged up, Judge.
- 21 THE COURT: I know. Been there, done that.
- MR. ELIADES: Your Honor, certain of the Wyndham
- 23 entities are defendants in lawsuits filed on behalf of C.A.,
- 24 B.H., K.R. and A.H. The Wyndham entities are not defendants
- in the M.B. case. So, accordingly, we'd only be arguing the

- 1 remand motions with respect to four cases and I note it's not
- 2 with respect to M.B.
- 3 Your Honor, the plaintiffs in those four cases chose
- 4 to bring a single lawsuit seeking damages from multiple
- 5 defendants for trafficking alleged at several hotels. The
- 6 defendants in each of those cases have asserted or are
- 7 entitled to assert various crossclaims, indemnification claims
- 8 or contribution claims and any damages which may be awarded to
- 9 a plaintiff in one of the four cases that we're speaking about
- 10 would need to be allocated amongst the various defendants who
- 11 are found to have liability.
- 12 THE COURT: That was what I was talking to counsel
- 13 about, that that might be where there's some administration of
- 14 the estate and something about the bankruptcy forum that might
- 15 at least have some relationship to what's happened here. I
- 16 get it.
- MR. ELIADES: Exactly, Judge, and we're talking
- 18 about an administrative nightmare to use the Court's phrase
- 19 earlier.
- THE COURT: Well, no, that nightmare was the ten
- 21 separate judges.
- MR. ELIADES: Yeah. Well, you could see a situation
- 23 where, you know, if the Court were to uncouple these cases and
- 24 have Federal Court jurisdiction retained over the claims
- 25 against the debtors, but have the matters proceed against the

- 1 nondebtor parties in the State Court, you would have, you
- 2 know, all sorts of administrative challenges from dealing with
- 3 crossclaims, indemnification claims to potentially, you know,
- 4 the impact of adverse rulings in the State Court affecting the
- 5 rights of the debtors. So -- but I'll get to that in a
- 6 second.
- 7 So just to recap the overview, Judge, two of the
- 8 defendants in the four actions that affect the Wyndham
- 9 entities exercised their right to file a bankruptcy
- 10 proceeding. The debtors then exercised their statutory right
- 11 under 28 U.S.C. 1452 and separately removed only the claims by
- 12 and against the debtors and the parties related to the debtors
- in C.A., B.H., K.R. and A.H. They also removed the claims
- 14 related to the debtor parties to the M.B. case from the Court
- of Common Pleas to this Court. The remaining codefendants in
- 16 those four actions exercised their statutory right and filed
- 17 separate timely notices of removal removing only the claims by
- 18 and against the nondebtor parties in the four litigations. So
- 19 at this point, C.A., B.H., K.R. and A.H. have been removed and
- 20 are pending before Your Honor and the parties and the claims
- 21 in each of those litigations have been rejoined in this court
- 22 in exactly the same posture that the plaintiffs chose to
- 23 commence those cases in the State Court.
- 24 THE COURT: Except they didn't choose to come here
- 25 and why -- I mean, is not -- well, to ask the question

- 1 actually is to answer the question. Is not the filing of one
- 2 of your codefendants, one of the defendants, just the
- 3 opportunity for opportunism for the rest of you because you
- 4 couldn't be here in the first place?
- 5 MR. ELIADES: It is an opportunity, Judge. It's a
- 6 statutory opportunity.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay.
- 8 MR. ELIADES: And we have to get over it. It's an
- 9 opportunity that Congress gave to these nondebtor
- 10 codefendants, but they can only exercise and take advantage of
- 11 that opportunity if they can establish that the removal was
- 12 proper which I'll address in a second.
- 13 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 14 MR. ELIADES: So none of the removing parties have
- 15 taken the position that the plaintiffs are not entitled at the
- 16 appropriate time to a jury trial.
- 17 In fact, 28 U.S.C. 157(b)(5) provides a mechanism
- 18 for District Courts to conduct trials in personal injury
- 19 actions in exactly this situation.
- 20 So the plaintiffs in the C.A., B.H., and K.R.
- 21 litigations filed separate motions to deem the removals by the
- 22 nondebtor parties void, to remand those cases back to State
- 23 Court, and we're asking this Court to exercise permissive
- 24 abstention.
- The plaintiff in the A.H. matter filed an identical

- 1 motion albeit arguably out of time. So the Wyndham Defendants
- 2 and most, if not all --
- 3 THE COURT: Is that going to be your argument?
- 4 Who's going to be the one to --
- 5 MR. ELIADES: I'm not going to argue that, Judge. I
- 6 believe --
- 7 THE COURT: Okay, is somebody going to try to argue
- 8 that?
- 9 MR. ELIADES: I believe counsel from Saul Ewing is
- 10 going to argue that.
- 11 THE COURT: Not that I want to overemphasize the
- 12 word try, but I have.
- 13 MR. ELIADES: Maybe counsel will not argue that.
- 14 THE COURT: Just saying.
- 15 MR. ELIADES: So the Wyndham defendants and most, if
- 16 not all, of the nondebtor removing parties filed oppositions
- 17 to the remand motions in those four cases, and as Your Honor
- 18 is well aware, when there's an objection to a remand, the
- 19 party that is invoking Federal Court jurisdiction has the
- 20 initial burden of demonstrating that the removal to the
- 21 District Court is proper by a preponderance of the evidence.
- 22 So the joint removal by the Wyndham defendants and the
- 23 nondebtor parties were made pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and
- 24 1452(a). So 1452(a) is the gateway to 1334 and that provides
- 25 that a party may remove any claim or cause of action to the

- 1 District Court where the civil action's pending if the
- 2 District Court has jurisdiction under 1334. And 1334(b) says
- 3 that the District Court shall have original, but not
- 4 exclusive, jurisdiction of all civil proceedings arising under
- 5 Title 11 bankruptcy or arising in or related to bankruptcy
- 6 cases. And Your Honor correctly, you know, pointed out the
- 7 very, very broad related to jurisdiction that has come out in
- 8 case law. The PriceWaterhouse case, which we have in our
- 9 brief, contains a pronouncement from the Third Circuit that
- 10 related to a jurisdiction is the broadest potential path to
- 11 bankruptcy jurisdictions and the Pacor case, another Third
- 12 Circuit decision --
- 13 THE COURT: It is at most a translucent concept in
- 14 this case. At most.
- 15 MR. ELIADES: And as counsel for the debtor cited
- 16 earlier, the Pacor case, you know, provides that related to
- 17 jurisdiction -- or actually it's related to a bankruptcy case
- 18 if its outcome could conceivably have any effect on the estate
- 19 being administered in bankruptcy and we cited and I know the
- 20 Eighty Eight L.P. defendant also cited a number of cases where
- 21 circuit courts have found that related to jurisdiction is
- 22 extremely broad and specifically was applied in connection
- 23 with contribution, indemnification and crossclaims in personal
- 24 injury cases.
- Now, as debtor's counsel also cited, the conceivable

- 1 effect test is satisfied.
- THE COURT: How can anybody with a straight face
- 3 even call it a test? I mean I don't mean to be too
- 4 argumentative about it, but that to me, that's almost as hard
- 5 to get ahold of as related to is.
- 6 MR. ELIADES: I think we can fairly characterize it
- 7 as a low hurdle, Judge.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. I don't want to beat that
- 9 horse too much, but it does -- as somebody who spends their
- 10 entire life it seems like dealing with all the tests that
- 11 everybody comes up with, that one is, you know, hard to resist
- 12 to talk about.
- 13 MR. ELIADES: So let me just say according to the
- 14 Third Circuit, an action satisfies or clears that low hurdle
- if the outcome could alter the debtor's rights, liabilities,
- options or freedom of action either positively or negative in
- 17 any way which impacts upon the handling and administration of
- 18 the bankruptcy estate. And as I noted earlier, the outcome of
- 19 the nondebtor party's potential crossclaims, contribution
- 20 claims, indemnification claims inarguably could alter the
- 21 debtor's liabilities. In addition, if there is a
- 22 determination of liability against defendants in any of these
- 23 actions, that liability would have to be specifically
- 24 allocated among the debtor and nondebtor defendants who are
- 25 found liable by the trier of fact, and that clearly would

- 1 affect the debtor's rights, liabilities, and options. And,
- 2 you know, also to the extent that there is a bifurcation of
- 3 these claims, discovery rulings by one court or in one court
- 4 apart from the potential for inconsistent results could be
- 5 binding upon and affect the debtor's rights, liabilities and
- 6 options and also significantly affect the administration of
- 7 debtor's estates.
- 8 THE COURT: I will say that it is absolutely
- 9 undeniable that there's a greater facility in a single
- 10 district to consolidate matters relatively easily and we do
- 11 that all the time and it's a little more cumbersome to do if
- 12 you've got, you know, ten different State Court cases.
- 13 There's no getting around that for anybody to argue. It is
- 14 easier to do. We do do it frequently. It's not necessarily
- 15 going to happen, but one of the arguments made for it always
- 16 is the spookiness of inconsistent verdicts. I get that. So I
- 17 think that is just a fact that's true, but I'm not sure of
- 18 much more, you know, beyond that. I actually had thought of a
- 19 question for plaintiffs' counsel on the point of why does the
- 20 bankruptcy -- why does the difference in terms of the
- 21 debtor's facility to defend itself become sort of important
- 22 once the bankruptcy is filed, but that's not going to be a
- 23 question for you because you're not even representing the
- 24 debtor.
- MR. ELIADES: Thank goodness, Judge.

- 1 THE COURT: There you go.
- MR. ELIADES: So, Your Honor, I don't think there's
- 3 any serious question that the claims related to the non --
- 4 that the claims against and of the nondebtor parties in at
- 5 least the four litigations that we're concerned with are
- 6 related to.
- 7 THE COURT: Do you find your clients more at risk
- 8 somehow if the case is against you proceeding in State Court
- 9 apace?
- 10 MR. ELIADES: I don't know if I'm the right person
- 11 to answer that, Judge, because I'm not involved in the defense
- 12 of the substantive cases. I can't imagine that my client
- 13 would authorize me to say yes in that --
- 14 THE COURT: And yet if you say no, then that has an
- 15 implication to the issue at hand.
- MR. ELIADES: Your Honor, I think there's no serious
- 17 question that the Wyndham and the nondebtor cases are related
- 18 to and that the cases were properly removed. So once you
- 19 clear that admittedly low hurdle, the burden shifts to the
- 20 parties seeking remand or permissive abstention to establish
- 21 that by a preponderance of the evidence. And the Wyndham
- 22 Defendants submit that none of the plaintiffs have satisfied
- 23 their burden with respect to the four cases.
- The plaintiffs in C.A., B.H., K.R. and A.H. present
- 25 two identical arguments regarding the joint removals of the

- 1 Wyndham parties and other nondebtor defendants.
- 2 The first is that the plaintiffs assert that each
- 3 matter was improperly removed and is therefore void because
- 4 the debtors have previously removed the entirety of each
- 5 litigation to this Court rather than just the claims against
- 6 the debtor parties.
- 7 The plaintiffs then argue that the cases should be
- 8 remanded because they satisfy -- under the circumstances,
- 9 satisfy the test for abstention and/or remand.
- 10 Your Honor, the first argument is easily dealt with.
- 11 The Roosevelt Inn Defendants' notices of removal state that
- 12 the claims being removed are those against the Roosevelt Inn
- 13 Defendants asserted by the plaintiff, the Roosevelt Inn
- 14 Defendants against additional defendants and other
- 15 crossclaims. The other -- the claims of the -- the claims
- 16 against the nondebtor parties are not subject of the notices
- 17 of removal filed by the debtors.
- 18 The second argument in connection with the void
- 19 argument, the plaintiffs cite to the Brown versus Jevic case,
- 20 it's a Third Circuit case out of 2009, for the proposition
- 21 that the Wyndham matters were not properly removed. The Brown
- 22 and the removal statute that are subject of Brown are
- 23 inapplicable to these cases, Your Honor. The matter in Brown
- 24 was removed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453 which deals with the
- 25 removal of class actions under the Class Action Fairness Act

- of 2005 and that statute provides that an entire class action
- 2 needs to be removed. You can't -- you can't cherry pick the
- 3 claims. The Wyndham entities as well as the debtors removed
- 4 under a different statute, 28 U.S.C. 1452, and that statute
- 5 specifically says that a party can remove any claim or cause
- of action. So the void argument, Your Honor, we think fails.
- Remand and abstention, Your Honor, we briefed that
- 8 pretty extensively. I know the debtors did as well -- yes,
- 9 the debtors did and certainly the other nondebtor defendants
- 10 briefed the elements and, you know, I'm happy to address any
- 11 of the elements, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Do you agree, though, I mean, I think
- 13 the focus here really is on the Court's discretion to remand
- 14 claims on any equitable grounds. I mean do you agree that
- 15 that's sort of where we all are?
- MR. ELIADES: I think that's the standard, Judge, in
- 17 light of the statutory -- I think that the discretion is
- 18 viewed in light of the statutory grant of jurisdiction in this
- 19 type of matter. So --
- 20 THE COURT: But once you're there, there still is
- 21 the Court's exercise of discretion, right?
- MR. ELIADES: No question, Judge, yes.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay. I mean just not to put too fine a
- 24 point on it, but that's where I see this coming down and
- 25 that's why I went through all of these factors.

- 1 MR. ELIADES: Yes, and in looking at all of these
- 2 factors at least as the Wyndham Defendants do, that the
- 3 plaintiffs haven't met their burden by a preponderance of the
- 4 evidence that these factors militate in favor of permissive
- 5 abstention or remand.
- 6 We talked about the administration, the effect of
- 7 the administration on the bankruptcy estate. I think that
- 8 that factor weighs, frankly, in favor of Federal Court
- 9 jurisdiction.
- The difficulty or unsettled nature of applicable
- 11 law, I think that does not favor the plaintiff. The Third
- 12 Circuit has noted time and again that Federal and State Courts
- 13 are equally capable of applying settled state case law to a
- 14 difficult set of facts.
- THE COURT: But what if the plaintiff -- well, but
- 16 what if it is correct that this particular issue, the
- 17 Innkeeper liability for the alleged sex trafficking, what if
- 18 that is kind of a new knocking it up a notch, you know, it's a
- 19 new issue, would that not suggest that it should go back to
- 20 the State Courts to handle?
- MR. ELIADES: Well, Your Honor, I haven't seen any
- 22 briefing that that is the case so I would be happy to address
- 23 that, but it doesn't sound to me like there's a new tort which
- 24 has been created.
- THE COURT: Well, I guess the issue would be whether

- 1 that's an extension of pushing beyond where everything has
- 2 happened in the past, whether this is a new level. Not a new
- 3 cause of action necessarily, but it may be a new level of
- 4 liability. I'm just posing that as a thought.
- 5 MR. ELIADES: The comity factor, Judge, we think
- 6 weighs in favor of staying here. These cases, you know, are
- 7 Philadelphia cases. They'll be handled here in Philadelphia.
- 8 THE COURT: Oh, even the folks that were just here,
- 9 you have no idea where they came from. They've come as far
- 10 away as Northampton County and Lancaster and Berks County all
- 11 working very hard to get here to downtown Philadelphia.
- MR. ELIADES: I'm sure, Judge. The right to a jury
- 13 trial is the same here as it was in the Court of Common Pleas.
- 14 THE COURT: No doubt.
- 15 MR. ELIADES: The degree of relatedness or
- 16 remoteness of the proceedings to the main bankruptcy case I
- 17 think is close. We don't think that prejudice favors the
- 18 plaintiff and we fall back to, you know, those cases that say
- 19 that, you know, abstention and even remand are extraordinary
- 20 remedies, right? They are the exception as opposed to the
- 21 rule when there is otherwise valid Federal Court jurisdiction.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- MR. ELIADES: Thank you, Judge.
- 24 THE COURT: Did I hear that somebody wants to argue
- 25 that A.H. was too late to move -- yes. Oh, look at that, the

- 1 hand is still going up, okay.
- 2 MR. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: I don't mean to jump over anybody else
- 4 who's planning to argue before getting to this point, but why
- 5 don't you tell me what's up.
- 6 MR. SMITH: Sure. Thank you, Your Honor. Matthew
- 7 Smith from Saul Ewing on behalf of the additional defendant,
- 8 Eighty Eight, L.P. We are only involved in the A.H. case
- 9 specifically. We're joined as a third-party defendant. I
- 10 don't have a lot to add from what Wyndham's counsel spoke to
- on the other issues. The only unique issue that I was going
- 12 to speak to was the untimeliness.
- 13 THE COURT: Yes.
- 14 MR. SMITH: So we filed a joint notice of removal
- 15 along with the Wyndham --
- 16 THE COURT: As I understand, the point is you filed
- 17 on time, but the wrong case.
- 18 MR. SMITH: Correct. So the 30-day window applies
- 19 from -- 30-day window in 1447, we cite case law in our brief
- 20 that it applies to bankruptcy removals under 1452 as well. We
- 21 also cite case law that it's a strict 30-day rule unless it's
- 22 an issue of subject matter jurisdiction. You know, cases
- 23 where it's 31 days, too bad. We also cited a case in our
- 24 brief where a similar issue came up, a party filed it on the
- 25 wrong docket. There were two cases removed. The cases had

- 1 been previously consolidated. The party filed a motion to
- 2 remand on the wrong docket, eventually later tried to file a
- 3 motion to remand on the correct docket, and the Court said,
- 4 you know, that's not just a clerical error. It's untimely.
- 5 So it's similar to what happened here. Plaintiff
- 6 filed a motion to remand in the 3277, the Roosevelt
- 7 Defendants' removal case, but not in 3450, which was the case
- 8 removed by codefendants Wyndham and Eighty Eight, L.P. So we
- 9 raised this issue in our response which was filed yesterday
- 10 because their motion had been untimely filed.
- 11 THE COURT: Let me pose a couple of familiar
- 12 principles. Going back to the shield and the sword, this
- 13 timing thing is being used as a sword, not so much a shield,
- 14 because nobody's going to say, wow, what a surprise this all
- 15 is, right? The filing was, albeit in the wrong case, it was
- 16 obviously a related case, right?
- MR. SMITH: Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: And the Court has the ability to assign
- 19 an earlier filing if justice so requires, right?
- MR. SMITH: So Your Honor --
- 21 THE COURT: Would you not agree with that?
- MR. SMITH: In circumstances, yes.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay, you're going to tell me that the
- 24 statute says must be filed in 30 days.
- MR. SMITH: Yes.

- 1 THE COURT: That's what you're going to tell me?
- 2 MR. SMITH: Yes, and --
- 3 THE COURT: Where does it say the Court cannot
- 4 exercise some equitable principles?
- 5 MR. SMITH: So there's case law saying that the
- 6 Court actually lacks authority once that 30-day window runs to
- 7 remand the case. Even if the Court chooses to, it can't.
- 8 THE COURT: What is the case for that strident view?
- 9 MR. SMITH: We cite multiple cases in our briefing.
- 10 THE COURT: Give me your best one.
- 11 MR. SMITH: Sure. I would say the --
- 12 THE COURT: It is different than a statute of
- 13 limitations.
- 14 MR. SMITH: Correct. Correct. The Roxbury case,
- 15 Third Circuit case, saying courts do not have the power to
- 16 remand for procedural defect once the 30-day statutory period
- 17 lapsed. So this issue came up in a case we cited a little
- 18 bit, as well, where the Court said we have power to correct
- 19 clerical mistakes, but this didn't fall under that umbrella.
- 20 Plaintiff filed a motion last night asking the Court to
- 21 retroactively deem its motion timely. They realized that, you
- 22 know, the days had passed.
- 23 THE COURT: This is all of our favorite nunc pro
- 24 tunc.
- MR. SMITH: So I mean we would respectfully ask for

- 1 at least an opportunity to respond to that in writing. I just
- 2 got my hands on it late last night.
- 3 THE COURT: How much time do you need?
- 4 MR. SMITH: Not a lot.
- 5 THE COURT: When I ask that, it is, in part, a trick
- 6 question. One of the sacrosanct rules that I grew up with in
- 7 practice was what Henry Reed said, you may remember this,
- 8 would call the Goosey Gander Rule. So if a timing rule is
- 9 good for the goose, it's going to be good for the gander. So
- 10 when you tell me when you're going to file something under the
- 11 circumstances of this argument, you will want to file on time.
- MR. SMITH: Absolutely, Your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay. So how much time do you need?
- MR. SMITH: Ten days.
- THE COURT: On this principle?
- MR. SMITH: Seven days. Is there a time Your Honor
- 17 would suggest? Seven days? Five days?
- 18 THE COURT: Well, how about -- I'm sorry.
- MR. SMITH: Whatever Your Honor picks, we'll get it
- 20 in on time.
- 21 THE COURT: How about by Tuesday, close of business
- 22 Tuesday?
- MR. SMITH: Sure.
- 24 THE COURT: Does that run afoul of any major family
- 25 holiday or birthday, wedding, et cetera?

```
1 MR. SMITH: Nope. That sound good, Your Honor.
```

- 2 THE COURT: Good. Sounds good to me. Anything else
- 3 on A.H.'s problems?
- 4 MR. SMITH: No, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Speaking of problems, although I'm being
- 6 a little light on some of the features of this particular
- 7 argument, it is clear this is a super serious case involving
- 8 extremely serious issues for people, not just the plaintiffs,
- 9 of course, but the defendants and the various people involved
- in the case, and as lawyers and judges, frankly, we all become
- 11 fairly comfortable with handling serious matters sometimes in
- 12 a relatively lighthearted way. Please do not misunderstand
- 13 me. I recognize this is a super serious case. The legal
- 14 principles are very serious as well. They're interesting, by
- 15 the way, but at the root of all of these cases, there are some
- 16 really very serious allegations if borne out of unspeakable
- 17 activity. So Tuesday close of business on this narrow issue.
- 18 MR. SMITH: Will do. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay. Other comments, arguments? Yes,
- 20 sir -- wait, I've got one here. Come on up. Why don't you
- 21 get in line.
- MS. FOREMAN: Melanie Foreman, Marshall Dennehey.
- 23 Your Honor, I represent Alpha-Centurion Security
- 24 Inc. --
- 25 THE COURT: Right.

- 1 MR. SMITH: -- only in the M.B. lawsuit.
- 2 THE COURT: Okay.
- 3 MR. SMITH: I don't want to belabor the points that
- 4 have already been very fully argued to you. I would simply
- 5 like to take the opportunity to make a few points to Your
- 6 Honor. Since this matter has been removed to the Eastern
- 7 District, there has already been more efficiency in this
- 8 lawsuit. All of the lawsuits, all five of them, have been
- 9 consolidated before Your Honor which was not the case in State
- 10 Court. As I mentioned, I only represent Alpha in the M.B.
- 11 lawsuit which was the lawsuit that was about to go to trial.
- 12 The State Court did not rule on any motions in limine in that
- 13 case, no rulings had been made, and the trial had not started.
- 14 Well, there had been a deposition. Nothing had been moved
- 15 into the record. So the trial had not, in fact, started.
- This is indeed a very complex case, but it is not
- 17 complex because of the law that is at issue. Plaintiffs'
- 18 claims sound in negligence and negligent infliction of
- 19 emotional distress alone. There is a new statute in
- 20 Pennsylvania under the human trafficking laws. Plaintiff does
- 21 not have a claim under that statute. If she did, this may be
- 22 a different case and I would say, yes, it is unique, but as it
- 23 is, these are negligence claims and this Honorable Court is
- 24 more than able to determine those matters.
- 25 Your Honor, Mr. Marion mentioned some things that

- 1 Judge Chan in the Bankruptcy Court had advised. I was at that
- 2 hearing and so I'll try to shed a little more light on that.
- 3 The M.B. lawsuit does remain completely stayed.
- 4 There are no other hotels within that lawsuit. Judge Chan has
- 5 repeatedly advised the parties that she has no intention of
- 6 permitting any of the debtors' assets going to one potential
- 7 tort creditor simply because she was first in line. Judge
- 8 Chan has every intention of making sure that the alleged
- 9 victims, including M.B., have equal rights and that none
- 10 should have priority over the others. To that end, she's
- 11 ordered that the claimants as well as the insurers and all of
- 12 the other codefendants participate in the mandatory mediation
- 13 with Judge Frank. Remanding the M.B. matter when the
- 14 Bankruptcy Court is poised to try to resolve the matter and
- 15 where it remains stayed would not be in the best interest of
- 16 the parties and would be contrary to the statutes that my
- 17 colleagues have already explained to you.
- 18 Finally, Your Honor, though we are in the Eastern
- 19 District of Pennsylvania, we are certainly in Philadelphia,
- 20 and the plaintiff will certainly get a jury of her peers if we
- 21 remain in Federal Court.
- Thank you.
- THE COURT: Thank you. Okay, somebody -- yes, sir?
- MR. MAHONEY: Your Honor, Harry Mahoney on behalf of
- 25 American Motor Inns, Inc. I think you alluded to this at the

- 1 beginning of the session. I just wanted to put on the record
- 2 that we would join in the arguments made by counsel for
- 3 defendant Wyndham.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- 5 Okay, others, before I go back to the beginning?
- 6 MR. HEINOLD: Your Honor, if you want me to respond
- 7 to Eighty Eight, L.P.'s untimeliness argument.
- 8 THE COURT: Why don't you do that now and then we'll
- 9 keep that separate.
- MR. HEINOLD: So, Your Honor, it's undisputed we
- 11 filed it under the 3277 case which was the Roosevelt action
- 12 when it was the notice of removal was filed in the 3430
- 13 action. However, all the defendants had notice of the filing
- 14 on time as it was filed on September 1st which was within the
- 15 30-day period. The Wyndham Defendants, who are represented by
- 16 Mr. Heller and other attorneys at his office, were on the
- 17 attorney record of that 3277 case. Mr. Smith and the other
- 18 attorneys who represent Eighty Eight, L.P. were also on that
- 19 and, in fact, actually entered their appearance in that 3277
- 20 action the day before it was filed. Additionally, we sent a
- 21 copy by e-mail of the time-stamped version on the 2nd and when
- 22 Mr. Heller notified us on the 14th of -- that it was filed in
- 23 the wrong action, we immediately within the hour filed it
- 24 under the appropriate headings. As was mentioned by Mr.
- 25 Smith, we filed, and Your Honor acknowledged, we filed a

- 1 motion nunc pro tunc seeking that the motion be retroactively
- 2 determined to be timely as there is no prejudice to any of the
- 3 parties as they were well aware of the issues at hand. Each
- 4 have actually filed responses. I believe Mr. Heller may have
- 5 responded partially and incorporating his colleagues' other
- 6 arguments, but these are issues that have been responded to
- 7 and there has been no prejudice raised as to the timeliness.
- 8 THE COURT: Thank you. When you're falling on your
- 9 sword, just don't bleed on the carpet, all right?
- 10 MR. HEINOLD: Understood, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: All right. I understand. The point I
- 12 was making to your opponent there is there is an underpinning.
- 13 We're all -- I can't remember what the actual quotation is
- 14 about, but, you know, misfilings, accidental clerical
- 15 misfilings, if that's what this was, happen to all of us and
- 16 there but for, you know, whatever goes -- John Branford is the
- 17 actual quotation. Ms. Greene, remind me, who was that quote?
- 18 Is that it?
- 19 THE LAW CLERK: I think so.
- THE COURT: Yes. Okay, I think that issue which
- 21 you're going to focus on, folks, is going to be 30 days and 30
- 22 days, and that's all it needs, period, end of story, but I'll
- 23 leave that to you all to squabble about.
- Ms. Marks, do you want to come back?
- MS. MARKS: Yes, Your Honor. I'll be brief.

- 1 THE COURT: Okay.
- 2 MS. MARKS: Your Honor, certainly this Court is
- 3 capable of hearing personal injury matters. I never meant to
- 4 suggest otherwise.
- 5 THE COURT: No, no, please, I didn't take it that
- 6 way.
- 7 MS. MARKS: My only point, Your Honor, is that these
- 8 are novel applications of state law.
- 9 THE COURT: Isn't the statute a part of
- 10 anybody's claim specifically or not?
- 11 MS. MARKS: It is not, Your Honor. Initially, M.B.
- 12 filed her claim, there were common law negligence claims as
- 13 well as a claim under the Pennsylvania Human Trafficking Law,
- 14 however, it was determined that that law was not in effect at
- 15 the time M.B. was sex trafficked so there was an amendment of
- 16 the Complaint.
- 17 Your Honor, we are here under pretenses by the
- 18 Roosevelt Defendants to drag these cases out of Philadelphia
- 19 Court of Common Pleas. The plaintiffs have a right to
- 20 determine where their cases should be heard. They chose
- 21 Philadelphia. The citizens of Philadelphia are being deprived
- 22 of the opportunity to sit in judgment of the Roosevelt Inn and
- 23 other defendants involving hotels in Philadelphia County. The
- 24 Roosevelt Defendants are citizens of Philadelphia County.
- 25 Don't they want a jury made up of their peers? Defendants

- 1 have sent in a playbook for other defendants to file to
- 2 manipulate the Court to deprive plaintiffs of their place and
- 3 their chosen forum.
- 4 THE COURT: Would somebody be able to argue that if
- 5 the -- if a large settlement demand is what throws somebody
- 6 into bankruptcy, that there is a chilling effect of having
- 7 settlement discussions?
- 8 MS. MARKS: Your Honor, my --
- 9 THE COURT: What I heard was you dropped a big
- 10 demand on them, they became concerned because the number was
- 11 so high. If that's true, does that mean that every time
- 12 somebody gets a high demand that might be in excess of what
- 13 they think their assets are, they're going to scoot over to
- 14 Bankruptcy Court? And where's the good policy in that if
- 15 people are in favor of settlements?
- MS. MARKS: Well, Your Honor, I don't think it's a
- 17 good policy if debtors or defendants like the Roosevelt
- 18 Defendants get to use the Bankruptcy Court proceedings as a
- 19 shield from liability. I don't think that would be a good
- 20 outcome. Our clients, you know, their objective is to expose
- 21 the hotels where they were sexually exploited and --
- 22 THE COURT: Well, let me ask the question that
- 23 actually does occur to me and here the issue is, is there not
- 24 fundamentally at least some difference that I'm going to get
- 25 to in a minute between the Federal Court in the bankruptcy

- 1 setting and State Court? What if a debtor has so little money
- 2 or in the way of assets has so little assets that it cannot
- 3 defend itself in State Court, but they can operate if they go
- 4 into the bankruptcy world? Are they not prejudiced
- 5 fundamentally by being made to defend without any assets and
- 6 the ability to defend in State Court? How can they put up a
- 7 fight if they have no money and no assets?
- 8 MS. MARKS: Your Honor, I think that the point is
- 9 the Bankruptcy Court can't determine the claims. The claims
- 10 have to be determined --
- 11 THE COURT: Well, no, that's why they get the
- 12 protection of the bankruptcy and they stay it while they kind
- of collect themselves.
- MS. MARKS: But plaintiffs' claims don't go away.
- 15 They have to be determined. There has to be some type of
- 16 judgment or verdict and why we're here today is the
- 17 determination as to where that should be held.
- 18 THE COURT: But there's also a when aspect to what
- 19 you're doing here as well because the notion is that you want
- 20 to be remanded to State Court and get back up and running
- 21 where you were going to be up and running.
- MS. MARKS: Yes, Your Honor. Yes.
- 23 THE COURT: But what happens if, as a result of all
- 24 this, and there's a lack of resolution on the insurance
- 25 coverage, you have the Roosevelt Defendants basically left

- defenseless because they have no wherewithal to defend
- 2 themselves. I'm posing this as a theoretical question and
- 3 does that not mean they should be allowed to stay in
- 4 Bankruptcy Court, stay the claim against them, and there we
- 5 have it?
- 6 MS. MARKS: Well, Your Honor, the Roosevelt
- 7 Defendants are being defended by counsel which is being paid
- 8 for by their insurance companies so I don't think that we have
- 9 that situation and it's the same -- what Your Honor presents
- 10 would be the same whether in State or Federal Court. The
- 11 Bankruptcy Court can't determine the plaintiffs' lawsuits.
- 12 They have --
- 13 THE COURT: No, but the Bankruptcy Court can stay
- 14 the proceedings.
- 15 MS. MARKS: And they have been stayed as to the
- 16 Roosevelt debtors.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay.
- 18 MS. MARKS: Your Honor, just one last point. These
- 19 cases are factually similar to the Boy Scout case where in
- 20 that case, the plaintiff filed in State Court, it was removed
- 21 to Federal Court as a result of a bankruptcy, and in that
- 22 court, the court of this District remanded those cases back to
- 23 State Court. And I would ask that Your Honor do the same and
- 24 remand M.B, C.A., B.H. and K.R.'s cases back to State Court.
- 25 Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything else from anybody? Now would 1 2 be the time if you're going to pop up and say something more. 3 Yes, sir? 4 MR. ELIADES: Your Honor, I just have one short 5 point. 6 THE COURT: Sure. MR. ELIADES: On the issue of the effect of Federal 7 8 Court jurisdiction over the litigations on the administration 9 of the debtor's bankruptcy estates, as Your Honor's been 10 advised, the Bankruptcy Court has ordered a mediation of 11 claims involving the debtors and parties related to the 12 debtors in the Bankruptcy Court. That order leaves open the 13 possibility for the Bankruptcy Court to direct additional 14 parties to mediate these litigations. Wyndham and 15 other nondebtor --16 THE COURT: They can only order people if those other parties have some kind of a crossclaim, existing 17 18 crossclaim against them, right? I mean they can't just --19 MR. ELIADES: And there's the jurisdiction issue, 20 Judge, which I think I'm getting to. Wyndham and other 21 nondebtor parties submitted statements to Your Honor consenting to -- at least the Wyndham defendants did --22 23 consenting to participate in a global mediation, whether that

mediation occurs in the Bankruptcy Court or whether it occurs

in the District Court, and to the extent that Your Honor would

24

25

- 1 choose to retain jurisdiction over these litigations, it is
- 2 within Your Honor's power to refer the matters to the
- 3 Bankruptcy Court for mediation only, to conduct a partial
- 4 referral, which would have an impact on the administration of
- 5 the debtor's bankruptcy.
- Thank you, Judge.
- 7 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- 8 MR. MARION: Your Honor, I just wanted to add to
- 9 remind Your Honor that Ms. Marks had indicated that there was
- 10 no allegation here of a bad faith bankruptcy filing by my
- 11 clients and that the steps my clients have taken both to file
- 12 the bankruptcy and to remove to this Court were in accordance
- 13 with the statutory authority granted by Congress.
- 14 Thank you.
- THE COURT: Now's the time if anybody else wants to
- 16 say anything. Okay, we're adjourned. Thanks very much.
- 17 Actually, before I adjourn, I gave counsel after the
- 18 negotiations and until Tuesday close of business to address
- 19 further the filing and timing of the A.H. motion to remand.
- 20 It is my habit to give counsel after an argument that's had a
- 21 fair amount of give and take and a number of people and then
- 22 the Court asking questions to give counsel the opportunity to
- 23 supplement your papers. When I say supplement, I really mean
- 24 supplement. I don't mean to repeat what you've already said.
- 25 I'll give you all that opportunity as well under certain

```
rules. One of those rules is that you can file -- you can
 1
 2
     submit no more than seven one-sided pages, double-spaced type
 3
     large enough for a normal human being to read, no footnotes,
 4
     no appendices, no attachments, and one-inch margins all around
 5
     and it must be seven pages that includes the caption that you
     use and your signature line. Is there anything ambiguous
 6
 7
     about what I mean about seven pages? Okay, I really would
 8
     confine yourself to anything that opposing counsel raised that
 9
     you might want to nail down, anything that the Court asks that
10
     you want to discuss or address, and get that to me by the
11
     close of business on Tuesday which would be, just to be
12
     precise, Tuesday is October the 5th, close of business, my
13
     close of business -- well, close of business is 5 o'clock.
14
     Okay? If that really causes somebody some serious problems in
15
     terms of the timing, let me know, but you all have so many
16
     colleagues and capabilities that I'm sure that you can make
17
     the best deadline.
18
               Again, my apologies for holding you up with that
19
     other case, but I hope you found it at least somewhat
20
     interesting and thanks very much.
21
               We're adjourned. Bye.
22
               MS. MARKS: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
                         (Court adjourned)
```

24

25

| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
|----|--|
| 2 | CERTITIONIE |
| 3 | I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript |
| 4 | from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled |
| 5 | matter. |
| 6 | Kathleen Feldman |
| 7 | Thatwest T economy |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Kathleen Feldman, CSR, CRR, RPR, CM |
| 10 | Official Court Reporter |
| 11 | Date: October 8, 2021 |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| \$ | 2 | 4 | 68:12 | 33:1, 34:1, 34:4, 34:9, |
|---|--|------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | according [1] - 47:13 accordingly [1] - | 35:9, 37:21, 37:23, 42:13, 47:17, 48:6, |
| \$24 [1] - 29:22 | 20 [1] - 16:24 | 40 [1] - 16:3 | 41:25 | 52:6, 52:7, 67:8, 68:4 |
| \$25 [1] - 29:19 | 2000 [1] - 5:15 | 400 [1] - 7:4 | accountable [1] - | administrative [3] - |
| | 2001 [1] - 5:23 | 4200 [8] - 5:24, 6:15, | 20:14 | 28:24, 42:18, 43:2 |
| 0 | 2005 [2] - 6:10, 51:1 | 11:7, 11:8, 11:19, | accurate [2] - 16:23, | admittedly [1] - |
| | 2009 [1] - 50:20 | 11:20 | 32:4 | 49:19 |
| 07102 td 5:0 | 2017 [1] - 16:10 | | — accusations [1] - | advantage [1] - |
| 07102 [1] - 5:8 | 2019 [1] - 39:10 | 5 | 14:11 | 44:10 |
| 1 | 2021 [1] - 4:10 | | achieve [1] - 28:17 | adverse [2] - 40:24, |
| I | 21-2984 [2] - 1:7, | 5 [1] - 69:13 | acknowledged [1] - | 43:4 |
| | 8:16 | 5000 [1] - 5:11 | 61:25 | advise [1] - 36:7 |
| 10 [2] - 34:19, 34:20 | 21-3218 [1] - 1:13 | 52nd [1] - 4:22 | Act [1] - 50:25 | advised [3] - 60:1, |
| 1012 [1] - 6:22 | 21-3222 [1] - 1:20 | 5th [1] - 69:12 | action [12] - 26:4, | 60:5, 67:10 |
| 10b-5 [1] - 8:10 | 21-3225 [1] - 2:6 | •[.] •• <u>-</u> | 35:24, 45:25, 47:14, | affect [4] - 43:8, |
| 10th [1] - 5:8 | 21-3277 [1] - 2:12 | 6 | 47:16, 51:1, 51:6, | 48:1, 48:5, 48:6 |
| 11 [3] - 29:21, 40:16, | 21-3392 [1] - 2:19 | | _ 53:3, 61:11, 61:13, | affecting [1] - 43:4 |
| 46:5 | 21-3396 [1] - 3:6 | | 61:20, 61:23 | affidavit [1] - 21:24 |
| 1334 [3] - 45:23, | 21-3401 [1] - 3:13 | 601 [1] - 7:9 | Action [1] - 50:25 | affiliated [1] - 25:24 |
| 45:24, 46:2 | 21-3430 [1] - 3:20 | 6th [1] - 34:19 | ACTION [10] - 1:4, | afoul [1] - 57:24 |
| 1334(b [1] - 46:2 | 21-3914 [1] - 4:5 | | _ 1:10, 1:17, 2:3, 2:9, | afternoon [19] - 9:6, 9:16, 9:20, 10:5, 10:9, |
| 1334(c)(1) [1] - 13:1 | 215)779-5578 [1] - 7:10 | 7 | 2:16, 3:3, 3:10, 3:17, – 4:2 | 10:15, 10:16, 11:2, |
| 14 [1] - 18:12 | 2300 [1] - 5:15 | | action's [1] - 46:1 | 11:6, 11:9, 11:12, |
| 1447 [1] - 54:19 | 25 [2] - 27:11, 39:11 | 7 [1] - 40:16 | actions [8] - 12:24, | 11:15, 11:18, 11:21, |
| 1452 [3] - 43:11, | 2600 [1] - 6:10 | | - 16:19, 27:17, 43:8, | 12:1, 12:4, 12:7, |
| 51:4, 54:20 | 28 [8] - 12:25, 13:1, | 8 | 43:16, 44:19, 47:23, | 23:25, 25:18 |
| 1452(a [1] - 45:24 1452(a) [1] - 45:24 | 34:7, 43:11, 44:17, | | - 50:25 | aggressively [2] - |
| 1452(b [1] - 12:25 | 45:23, 50:24, 51:4 | 04h 00.4 | activity [1] - 58:17 | 13:12, 16:20 |
| 1453 [1] - 50:24 | 2800 [1] - 6:7 | 8th [1] - 28:1 | actual [3] - 28:8, | agree [7] - 19:7, |
| 14th [1] - 61:22 | 29 [1] - 4:10 | Α | 62:13, 62:17 | 23:7, 30:24, 36:7, |
| 15 [2] - 22:2, 24:23 | 2984 [1] - 8:18 | A | Adam [1] - 11:15 | 51:12, 51:14, 55:21 |
| 1500 [3] - 4:19, 5:19, | 2nd [1] - 61:21 | | aDAM [1] - 6:10 | agreed [2] - 21:17, |
| 6:19 | - | A.H [18] - 2:9, 3:17, | add [3] - 8:5, 54:10, | 27:23 |
| 1525 [1] - 4:15 | 3 | 4:2, 4:24, 6:8, 6:16, | 68:8 | ahead [4] - 12:22, |
| 157(b [1] - 38:17 | | - 9:21, 11:13, 11:20, | addition [1] - 47:21 | 13:7, 21:10, 44:13 |
| 157(b)(5 [2] - 34:7, | 20 to FE(0.4 GO) 04 | 24:1, 41:24, 43:13, | additional [7] - | ahold [1] - 47:5 |
| 44:17 | 30 [3] - 55:24, 62:21 | 43:19, 44:25, 49:24, | 10:17, 16:6, 21:24, | al [10] - 1:7, 1:13, |
| 1600 [1] - 6:14 | 30-day [6] - 54:18, 54:19, 54:21, 56:6, | 53:25, 54:8, 68:19 | 25:25, 50:14, 54:7, | 1:20, 2:6, 2:12, 2:19, |
| 1601 [1] - 6:3 | 56:16, 61:15 | A.H.'s [1] - 58:3 | 67:13 | 3:6, 3:14, 3:21, 4:5 |
| 1650 [3] - 4:22, 5:11, | 30th [1] - 28:5 | ability [2] - 55:18, | additionally [1] - | albeit [2] - 45:1, 55:15 |
| 6:7 | 31 [1] - 54:23 | 65:6 able [2] - 59:24, 64:4 | 61:20 address [6] - 39:1, | allegation [3] - 14:1, |
| 170 [1] - 7:3 | 3218 [1] - 8:18 | above-entitled [1] - | 44:12, 51:10, 52:22, | 14:2, 68:10 |
| 17th [1] - 16:1 | 3222 [1] - 8:18 | 70:4 | 68:18, 69:10 | allegations [1] - |
| 18 [1] - 24:23 | 3225 [1] - 8:18 | absolutely [5] - | adjourn [1] - 68:17 | 58:16 |
| 18th [1] - 5:4 | 3277 [5] - 8:19, 55:6, | 14:25, 19:19, 26:3, | adjourned [3] - | alleged [4] - 20:21, |
| 19102 [3] - 4:16, | 61:11, 61:17, 61:19 | 48:8, 57:12 | 68:16, 69:21, 69:23 | 42:5, 52:17, 60:8 |
| 4:19, 5:20 | 3392 [1] - 8:18 | abstain [1] - 30:25 | adjudication [1] - | allocated [2] - 42:10, |
| 19103 [10] - 4:23, | 3396 [1] - 8:18 | abstention [12] - | 34:11 | 47:24 |
| 5:4, 5:12, 5:16, 5:23, 6:4, 6:7, 6:11, 6:15, | 3400 [1] - 6:3 | 13:1, 13:3, 18:22, | administer [1] - | allocating [1] - 34:1 |
| 6:19 | 3401 [1] - 8:18 | 19:4, 38:14, 38:16, | 28:22 | allow [1] - 29:7 |
| 19106 [2] - 7:4, 7:10 | 3430 [2] - 8:18, 61:12 | 44:24, 49:20, 50:9, | administered [3] - | allowed [1] - 66:3 |
| 19477 [1] - 6:23 | 3450 [1] - 55:7 | 51:7, 52:5, 53:19 | 26:6, 32:6, 46:19 | alluded [2] - 36:16, |
| 1st [1] - 61:14 | 3810 [1] - 5:23 | accidental [1] - | administering [1] - | 60:25 |
| | 38th [1] - 5:19 | 62:14 | 32:11 | almost [1] - 47:4 |
| | 3914 [1] - 8:19 | accomplish [1] - | administration [20] - | alone [1] - 59:19 |
| | | 13:2 | 19:14, 19:16, 26:24, | Alpha [9] - 6:8, 6:11, |
| | | accordance [1] - | 31:18, 31:21, 32:18, | 10:11, 11:13, 11:17, |
| | | | | |

27:13, 27:14, 58:23, Alpha-Centurian [3] - 6:11, 11:13, 11:17 Alpha-Centurion [4] - 6:8, 10:11, 27:13, 58:23 alter [3] - 26:22, 47:15, 47:20 alternative [1] -12:25 ambiguous [1] - 69:6 amendment [1] -63:15 America [1] - 31:1 American [6] - 6:4, 11:11, 17:1, 18:14, 18:15, 60:25 amount [2] - 27:7, 68:21 amounts [1] - 27:1 analogy [1] - 40:12 analyzing [1] - 24:2 Anders [1] - 17:20 Andrew [1] - 9:20 ANDREW [1] - 4:22 answer [2] - 44:1, 49:11 anticipate [2] - 21:5, 28:6 apace [1] - 49:9 apart [1] - 48:4 Apha [1] - 5:16 Apha-Centurion [1] apologies [1] - 69:18 appearance [2] -21:22, 61:19 aPPEARANCES[1] -APPEARANCES[3] -4:13, 5:1, 6:1 appendices [1] -69:4 applicable [1] -52:10 application [2] -20:13, 37:5 applications [2] -19:11, 63:8 applied [1] - 46:22 applies [2] - 54:18, 54:20 apply [3] - 19:1, 31:7, 37:2 applying [1] - 52:13 appointed [1] - 27:24 appropriate [2] -44:16, 61:24 area [2] - 20:6, 36:20

arguable [1] - 18:3 arguably [1] - 45:1 argue [17] - 8:4, 21:6, 24:8, 26:25, 30:12, 31:12, 37:5, 41:16, 45:5, 45:7, 45:10, 45:13, 48:13, 50:7, 53:24, 54:4, 64.4 argued [3] - 21:16, 29:9, 59:4 arguing [3] - 8:3, 23:23, 41:25 argument [16] - 8:14, 12:13, 12:16, 12:19, 13:24, 14:7, 23:7, 45:3, 50:10, 50:18, 50:19, 51:6, 57:11, 58:7, 61:7, 68:20 argumentative [1] -47:4 arguments (8) -12:15, 14:21, 23:16, 48:15, 49:25, 58:19, 61:2, 62:6 arise [1] - 19:24 arising [2] - 46:4, 46:5 arranged [1] - 8:6 articles [1] - 30:20 Ashoka [2] - 7:5, 12:8 aside [1] - 8:14 aspect [1] - 65:18 assert [2] - 42:7, 50:2 asserted [2] - 42:6, 50:13 assertion [1] - 16:22 assets [12] - 26:12, 27:4, 27:16, 31:2, 38:4, 40:20, 60:6, 64:13, 65:2, 65:5, assign [1] - 55:18 assigned [2] - 16:1, assignment [1] -17.18 assume [1] - 9:1 assure [1] - 9:3 attached [2] - 21:25, 30:20 attachments [1] -69:4 attendance [1] - 9:11 attended [1] - 30:6 attorney [1] - 61:17

attorneys [2] - 61:16,

61:18

authority [2] - 56:6, authorize [1] - 49:13 automatic [2] - 19:1, 40:15 Avenue [1] - 18:16 avoid [3] - 30:4, 30:12, 40:25 awarded [1] - 42:8 aware [3] - 35:20, 45:18, 62:3

В

B.H [23] - 2:3, 3:3,

4:16, 4:20, 6:11, 6:20, 6:23, 7:5, 9:8, 9:17, 11:17, 12:3, 12:6, 12:9, 12:23, 17:19, 18:12, 41:24, 43:13, 43:19, 44:20, 49:24, 66:24 background [2] -18:4, 18:7 bad [9] - 13:24, 14:6, 14:7, 14:11, 14:22, 15:1, 33:14, 54:23, 68:10 bankrupt [1] - 26:24 bankruptcy [76] -13:19, 14:12, 14:21, 16:8, 16:11, 16:14, 19:14, 19:16, 19:18, 19:20, 22:22, 22:23, 26:3, 26:5, 26:7, 27:21, 28:14, 29:3, 29:4, 29:15, 29:16, 29:20, 30:2, 31:5, 31:19, 31:22, 31:24, 31:25, 32:4, 32:5, 32:6, 32:13, 32:18, 33:1, 33:4, 33:11, 34:5, 34:11, 35:8, 37:8, 37:10, 37:18, 37:21, 38:1, 38:2, 38:4, 39:3, 39:7, 39:16, 39:25, 40:19, 41:10, 42:14, 43:9, 46:5, 46:11, 46:17, 46:19, 47:18, 48:20, 48:22, 52:7, 53:16, 54:20, 64:6, 64:25, 65:4, 65:12, 66:21, 67:9, 68:5, 68:10, 68:12 Bankruptcy [23] -18:25, 19:17, 19:21,

22:23, 33:5, 34:7,

41:5, 60:1, 60:14,

38:17, 39:18, 40:10,

11:2

56:18

Bezar [1] - 11:3

binding [1] - 48:5

birthday [1] - 57:25

bit [6] - 8:24, 24:21,

26:21, 31:7, 33:21,

BLANK [1] - 5:2

Blank [4] - 10:1,

21:22, 21:23, 25:19

big [1] - 64:9

bifurcation [1] - 48:2

64:14, 64:18, 65:9, bleed [1] - 62:9 66:4, 66:11, 66:13, Blvd [3] - 5:24, 6:14, 67:10, 67:12, 67:13, 67:24, 68:3 bar [1] - 28:2 barely [1] - 40:4 BARRETT[1] - 4:21 based [1] - 38:20 beat [1] - 47:8 became [2] - 17:20, 64:10 become [2] - 48:21, 58:10 **BEFORE** [1] - 4:11 begin [1] - 16:14 beginning [2] - 61:1, 61:5 behalf [21] - 9:7, 10:1, 10:11, 10:17, 11:3, 11:7, 11:10, 11:16, 11:22, 12:3, 12:5, 12:8, 12:15, 12:19, 23:24, 25:7, 25:19, 41:17, 41:23, 54:7, 60:24 behavior [1] - 14:23 behind [1] - 12:11 belabor [1] - 59:3 belong [2] - 13:6, 15:10 belongs [1] - 36:24 Bendesky [1] - 9:21 BENDESKY [1] -4:21 **bENNETT**[1] - 6:17 Bennett [1] - 12:2 Berks [1] - 53:10 best [4] - 25:12, 56:10, 60:15, 69:17 bethlehem [1] - 6:22 better [6] - 9:2, 32:18, 32:23, 34:4, 34:12, 35:8 between [2] - 15:22, 64:25 beyond [2] - 48:18, 53:1 **BEZAR** [2] - 4:15,

borne [1] - 58:16 Boulevard [5] - 11:7, 11:20, 18:14, 18:18, 27.6 Boy [7] - 19:8, 19:9, 22:9, 22:14, 22:19, 31:1, 66:19 brand [2] - 18:18, 18:19 Branford [1] - 62:16 breaking [1] - 23:7 breakpoint [1] - 23:5 Bricklin [1] - 12:2 BRICKLIN [1] - 6:17 brief [7] - 26:4, 34:8, 38:2, 46:9, 54:19, 54:24, 62:25 briefed [2] - 51:7, 51:10 briefing [2] - 52:22, bring [3] - 18:8, 36:5, 42:4 broad [3] - 19:5, 46:7, 46:22 broadest [1] - 46:10 brought [2] - 13:17, 20.1 Brown [4] - 50:19, 50:21, 50:22, 50:23 burden [4] - 45:20, 49:19, 49:23, 52:3 business [12] -20:14, 40:18, 41:2, 41:4, 41:12, 57:21, 58:17, 68:18, 69:11, 69:12, 69:13 BY [16] - 4:14, 4:18, 4:22, 5:2, 5:7, 5:11, 5:14, 5:18, 5:22, 6:3, 6:6, 6:10, 6:13, 6:18, 6:22. 7:3 bye [1] - 69:21 Byers [2] - 10:1, 41:7 BYERS [2] - 5:3, 35:23 **byrne** [1] - 7:9

C

C.A [23] - 1:17, 2:16, 4:16, 4:20, 6:11, 6:20, 6:23, 7:5, 9:7, 9:17, 11:17, 12:3, 12:6, 12:9, 12:23, 17:19, 18:12, 41:23, 43:13,

| 43:19, 44:20, 49:24, |
|---|
| 66:24 |
| |
| C.A.T [1] - 7:11 |
| cannot [5] - 19:17, |
| 23:14, 33:6, 56:3, |
| 65:2 |
| capabilities [1] - |
| 69:16 |
| capable [2] - 52:13, |
| 63:3 |
| |
| caption [1] - 69:5 |
| Captions [1] - 8:18 |
| career [1] - 22:3 |
| Carpenter [1] - 17:22 |
| carpet [1] - 62:9 |
| carrier's [1] - 29:17 |
| case [96] - 5:16, 6:8, |
| 6:16, 8:6, 8:11, 8:15, |
| |
| 11:14, 11:20, 13:20, |
| 14:15, 15:11, 15:25, |
| 16:9, 17:4, 17:16, |
| 18:4, 19:9, 19:16, |
| 19:25, 22:9, 22:10, |
| 22:11, 22:14, 22:17, |
| 22:19, 22:22, 22:23, |
| 23:15, 24:23, 25:3, |
| 25:5, 26:5, 27:11, |
| 27:21, 29:10, 30:4, |
| 30:23, 31:4, 31:9, |
| 32:21, 34:11, 36:24, |
| 37:8, 37:9, 37:16, |
| 37:18, 37:19, 37:20, |
| 37:21, 38:1, 38:18, |
| 39:12, 40:23, 41:25, |
| 43:14, 46:8, 46:11, |
| 46:14, 46:16, 46:17, |
| 49:8, 50:19, 50:20, |
| |
| 52:13, 52:22, 53:16, 54:8, 54:17, 54:19, |
| |
| 54:21, 54:23, 55:7, |
| 55:15, 55:16, 56:5, |
| 56:7, 56:8, 56:14, |
| 56:15, 56:17, 58:7, |
| 58:10, 58:13, 59:9, |
| 59:13, 59:16, 59:22, |
| 61:11, 61:17, 66:19, |
| 66:20, 69:19 |
| cases [111] - 6:11, |
| 6:20, 6:23, 7:5, 8:19, |
| 8:24, 9:2, 11:17, 13:6, |
| 13:9, 13:10, 13:11, |
| 13:13, 13:14, 13:17, |
| 15:10, 16:18, 16:19, |
| 16:22, 17:3, 17:12, |
| 17:15, 17:20, 18:7, |
| 18:9, 18:10, 18:24, |
| |
| 19:3, 19:6, 19:15, |
| 19:17, 19:19, 20:8, |
| 20:12, 20:18, 20:20, |
| 21:2, 21:18, 22:4, |
| |

| 22:7, 22:16, 22:18, 22:24, 22:25, 26:2, 26:21, 26:22, 27:2, 27:3, 27:13, 27:23, 28:20, 28:22, 28:23, 28:25, 30:6, 30:15, 31:3, 31:22, 32:9, 32:20, 33:15, 33:17, 34:13, 34:23, 36:17, 36:19, 37:3, 37:10, 37:14, 38:1, 38:21, 39:12, 40:13, 40:15, 42:1, 42:3, 42:6, 42:9, 42:23, 43:23, 44:22, 45:17, 46:6, 46:20, 46:24, 48:12, 49:12, 49:17, 49:18, 49:23, 50:7, 50:23, 53:6, 53:7, 53:18, 54:22, 54:25, 56:9, 58:15, 63:18, 63:20, 66:19, 66:22, 66:24 cash [1] - 27:7 |
|---|
| cash [1] - 27:7 casted [1] - 30:21 |
| CATHERINE [1] - 6:18 |
| CATHERINE [1] - 6:18 Catherine [1] - 12:2 caused [1] - 40:8 causes [1] - 69:14 |
| caused [1] - 40:8 causes [1] - 69:14 |
| Center [4] - 5:8, |
| 5:19, 6:14, 6:18 |
| centralize [1] - 34:9 Centurian [3] - 6:11, |
| 11:13, 11:17 |
| Centurion [5] - 5:16, |
| 6:8, 10:11, 27:13, 58:23 |
| certain [3] - 35:24, |
| 41:22, 68:25 certainly [16] - 9:4, |
| 15:14, 20:5, 20:8, |
| 21:7, 22:18, 28:21, |
| 29:12, 30:1, 32:5, 37:2, 41:1, 51:9, |
| 60:19, 60:20, 63:2 |
| certify [1] - 70:3 |
| cetera [2] - 15:21, 57:25 |
| chains [1] - 18:20 |
| challenged [1] - 22:2 |
| challenges [1] - 43:2 |
| Chan [7] - 18:25, 27:20, 28:10, 35:10, |
| 60:1, 60:4, 60:8 |
| chance [2] - 9:13, |
| 24:7 change [ɜ] - 14:17, |
| 16:15, 32:2 |
| Chapter [2] - 40:16 |
| |

```
characterize [1] -
 Charles [4] - 9:25,
11:18. 25:7. 25:18
 CHARLES [2] - 5:2,
6:13
 cherry [1] - 51:2
 Cherry [1] - 5:4
 children [1] - 13:16
 chilling [1] - 64:6
 choice [2] - 15:3,
16:17
 choose [2] - 43:24,
68:1
 chooses [1] - 56:7
 chose [6] - 13:12,
15:11, 18:10, 42:3,
43:22, 63:20
 chosen [1] - 64:3
 Circuit [6] - 46:9,
46:12, 47:14, 50:20,
52:12, 56:15
 circuit [1] - 46:21
 circumstances [3] -
50:8, 55:22, 57:11
 cite [4] - 50:19,
54:19, 54:21, 56:9
 cited [8] - 34:7, 38:2,
46:15, 46:19, 46:20,
46:25, 54:23, 56:17
 citizens [3] - 13:13,
63:21, 63:24
 City [1] - 18:16
 civil [13] - 1:4, 1:10,
1:17, 2:3, 2:9, 2:16,
3:3, 3:10, 3:17, 4:2,
12:24, 46:1, 46:4
 claim [9] - 26:11,
26:13, 45:25, 51:5,
59:21, 63:10, 63:12,
63:13, 66:4
 claimants [2] -
40:22, 60:11
 claims [38] - 19:24,
20:6, 20:7, 20:9, 28:2,
28:3, 29:7, 30:3, 33:6,
36:17, 37:23, 42:7,
42:8, 42:24, 43:3,
43:11, 43:13, 43:17,
43:20, 47:20, 48:3,
49:3, 49:4, 50:5,
50:12, 50:15, 51:3,
51:14, 59:18, 59:23,
63:12, 65:9, 65:14,
67:11
 class [2] - 50:25,
51:1
 Class [1] - 50:25
 clear [5] - 14:12,
19:1, 39:12, 49:19,
```

```
58:7
 clearly [3] - 27:17,
38:7, 47:25
 clearly-related [1] -
27:17
 clears [1] - 47:14
 clerical [3] - 55:4,
56:19, 62:14
 Clerk [1] - 8:1
 clerk [1] - 9:4
 CLERK [4] - 24:13,
24:15, 24:19, 62:19
 clerks [1] - 16:24
 client [6] - 27:4,
27:15, 31:2, 36:8,
40:15, 49:12
 clients [12] - 13:8,
27:10, 29:6, 30:1,
30:21, 39:6, 40:8,
40:19, 49:7, 64:20,
68:11
 clients' [4] - 17:6,
27:21, 30:17, 32:6
 clock [2] - 17:9, 41:9
 close [9] - 33:16,
53:17, 57:21, 58:17,
68:18, 69:11, 69:12,
69:13
 closer [1] - 39:7
 CM [2] - 7:8, 70:9
 cocounsel [1] -
11:23
 code [1] - 40:10
 Code [4] - 34:7,
38:18, 40:10, 41:5
 codefendants [6] -
25:3, 43:15, 44:2,
44:10, 55:8, 60:12
 Cohen [3] - 14:16,
14:18, 17:17
 COLEMAN[1] - 5:14
 colleague [3] - 9:25,
10:10, 30:5
 colleagues [2] -
60:17, 69:16
 colleagues' [1] -
62:5
 collect [2] - 32:16,
65:13
 collective [1] - 31:24
 combined [2] -
27:11, 40:6
 come-back-to-
court [1] - 8:5
 comfortable [1] -
58:11
```

coming [2] - 8:8,

comity [4] - 22:8,

31:6, 37:6, 53:5

51:24

```
commence [1] -
43:23
 commenced [4] -
13:11, 13:20, 22:17,
29:10
 comments [1] -
58:19
 Common [12] - 15:4,
17:22, 18:5, 18:9,
22:6, 22:15, 31:5,
37:9, 39:9, 43:15,
53:13, 63:19
 common [3] - 19:25,
20:13, 63:12
 companies [1] - 66:8
 company [1] - 27:14
 Company [1] - 25:21
 Complaint [1] -
63:16
 complete [1] - 17:4
 completely [1] - 60:3
 completion [1] -
16:21
 complex [7] - 20:10,
21:6, 21:17, 21:18,
22:4, 59:16, 59:17
 complexity [1] -
21:19
 complicated [1] -
33:25
 conceivable [1] -
46:25
 conceivably [3] -
26:6, 37:25, 46:18
 concept [2] - 34:3,
46:13
 concern [1] - 39:1
 concerned [3] - 15:8,
49:5, 64:10
 concerns [3] - 30:18,
37:13, 37:16
 conclude [1] - 23:19
 concluded [1] - 23:6
 conclusion [1] - 8:11
 conduct [2] - 44:18,
68:3
 conducting [1] -
27:25
 conference [2] -
27:25, 39:8
 conferences [1] -
 confine [1] - 69:8
 Congress [3] - 33:4,
44:9. 68:13
 conjures [1] - 17:24
 connection [3] -
22:22, 46:22, 50:18
 consented [1] -
38:11
```

24:14, 24:17, 24:20,

25:1, 25:9, 25:12,

consenting [2] -67:22, 67:23 consider [2] - 30:1, 30:25 consolidate [1] -48.10 consolidated [3] -28:23, 55:1, 59:9 consolidation [1] -34.17CONT [10] - 1:24, 2:1, 2:23, 3:1, 4:1, 5:1, 5:25, 6:1, 6:25, cONT [2] - 3:24, 4:25 contains [1] - 46:9 contend [3] - 27:16, 31:8, 37:22 context [1] - 24:22 continue [6] - 14:15, 16:15, 21:18, 30:10, 40:18, 41:12 continuing [1] - 29:8 continuum [1] -14:22 contrary [4] - 16:21, 29:9, 33:15, 60:16 contribution [3] -42:8, 46:23, 47:19 controlling [1] - 20:4 coordinate [2] -28:22, 29:6 coordinated [1] -35:16 coordination [1] -35:19 copy [1] - 61:21 cords [1] - 9:13 corporation [4] -2:19, 3:6, 3:14, 3:21 Corporation [1] correct [8] - 28:12, 52:16, 54:18, 55:3, 56:14, 56:18, 70:3 correctly [2] - 14:21, counsel [29] - 9:8, 16:4, 21:21, 23:23, 24:2, 24:15, 29:10, 29:17, 29:21, 30:9, 32:7, 35:25, 36:8, 41:8, 42:12, 45:9, 45:13, 46:15, 46:25, 48:19, 54:10, 61:2, 66:7, 68:17, 68:20, 68:22, 69:8 counsels [1] - 38:5 count [1] - 24:23 countless [1] - 17:23

County [5] - 13:13, 53:10, 63:23, 63:24 couple [1] - 55:11 course [3] - 10:23, 15:17, 58:9 Court [115] - 7:8, 9:2, 13:6, 13:9, 13:17, 15:4, 15:10, 15:23, 16:19, 17:11, 17:22, 18:5, 18:9, 18:25, 19:17, 19:20, 19:21, 20:1, 21:7, 21:8, 21:17, 22:6, 22:10, 22:11, 22:12, 22:13, 22:14, 22:15, 22:23, 24:3, 26:2, 28:25, 31:5, 32:17, 32:19, 33:5, 33:14, 33:18, 34:22, 36:25, 37:9, 37:11, 37:14, 37:15, 37:20, 38:19, 39:8, 39:18, 42:23, 42:24, 43:1, 43:4, 43:14, 43:15, 43:23, 44:23, 45:19, 45:21, 46:1, 46:2, 46:3, 48:12, 49:8, 50:5, 52:8, 53:13, 53:21, 55:3, 55:18, 56:3, 56:6, 56:7, 56:18, 56:20, 59:10, 59:12, 59:23, 60:1, 60:14, 60:21, 63:2, 63:19, 64:2, 64:14, 64:18, 64:25 65:1, 65:3, 65:6, 65:9, 65:20, 66:4, 66:10, 66:11, 66:13, 66:20, 66:21, 66:23, 66:24, 67:8, 67:10, 67:12, 67:13, 67:24, 67:25, 68:3, 68:12, 68:22, 69:9, 69:23, 70:9 court [18] - 8:1, 8:5, 15:3, 19:18, 19:21, 27:9, 28:21, 32:6, 32:10, 33:10, 38:8, 39:8, 43:21, 48:3, 66:22 COURT [151] - 1:1, 8:2, 9:10, 9:19, 9:22, 10:15, 10:19, 11:5, 11:25, 12:10, 13:2, 13:7, 13:23, 14:6, 14:19, 15:1, 15:6,

15:12, 15:16, 17:7,

17:14, 17:24, 20:3,

20:11, 20:19, 21:3,

21:9, 21:13, 23:3,

23:5, 23:10, 23:13,

23:20, 24:5, 24:10,

25:15, 25:17, 26:8, 26:13, 26:15, 26:18, 28:4, 28:11, 28:18, 31:10, 31:15, 31:23, 32:12, 33:1, 33:8, 33:12, 33:20, 34:15, 34:24, 35:2, 35:6, 35:15, 35:18, 35:22, 36:2, 36:10, 36:14, 36:23, 38:23, 38:25, 39:4, 39:13, 39:22, 40:9, 41:13, 41:15, 41:19, 41:21, 42:12, 42:20, 43:24, 44:7, 44:13, 45:3, 45:7, 45:11, 45:14, 46:13, 47:2, 47:8, 48:8, 49:1, 49:7, 49:14, 51:12, 51:20, 51:23, 52:15, 52:25, 53:8, 53:14, 53:22, 53:24, 54:3, 54:13, 54:16, 55:11, 55:18, 55:21, 55:23, 56:1, 56:3, 56:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:23, 57:3, 57:5, 57:13, 57:15, 57:18, 57:21, 57:24, 58:2, 58:5, 58:19, 58:25, 59:2, 60:23, 61:4, 61:8, 62:8, 62:11, 62:20, 63:1, 63:5, 63:9, 64:4, 64:9, 64:22, 65:11, 65:18, 65:23, 66:13, 66:17, 67:1, 67:6, 67:16, 68:7, 68:15 Court's [3] - 42:18, 51:13, 51:21 court-ordered [1] -39:8 Courthouse [1] - 7:9 courtroom [2] -10:21, 16:4 courts [5] - 19:5, 19:6, 38:16, 46:21, 56:15 Courts [3] - 44:18, 52:12, 52:20 coverage [7] - 26:1, 27:8, 27:11, 29:25, 35:12, 40:2, 65:25 **COVID** [1] - 40:3 Coyle [1] - 24:11 Cozen [1] - 11:13 COZEN [1] - 6:6 create [1] - 40:21 created [1] - 52:24 creditor [1] - 60:7

creditors [1] - 32:3 criminal [3] - 8:6, 8:11, 24:23 criteria [8] - 19:4, 19:10, 19:11, 19:12, 19:23, 22:8, 22:20, 23.1 crossclaim [3] -27:15, 67:17, 67:18 crossclaims [6] -27:13, 42:7, 43:3, 46:23, 47:19, 50:15 CRR [2] - 7:8, 70:9 CSR [2] - 7:8, 70:9 cumbersome [1] -48:11 Cunningham [1] -16:2

D Daiquan [1] - 16:6 damages [3] - 27:1, 42:4, 42:8 **DAMALI**[1] - 5:22 Damali [1] - 11:6 Dan [1] - 10:5 **DANIEL** [1] - 5:7 Darnell [1] - 27:9 Date [1] - 70:11 date [1] - 28:2 dates [1] - 16:20 Davis [1] - 16:6 Days [4] - 5:9, 10:8, 18:13, 18:17 days [11] - 17:6, 29:16, 54:23, 55:24, 56:22, 57:14, 57:16, 57:17, 62:21, 62:22 deadline [1] - 69:17 deal [2] - 34:23, 35:3 dealing [3] - 18:20, 43:2, 47:10 deals [1] - 50:24 dealt [1] - 50:10 **DEASEY** [1] - 6:2 Deasey [1] - 11:10 debt [1] - 39:23 debtor [9] - 25:8, 25:19, 35:25, 43:14, 46:15, 47:24, 48:24, 50:6, 65:1 debtor's [12] - 26:3, 26:11, 26:22, 46:25, 47:15, 47:21, 48:1, 48:5, 48:7, 48:21, 67:9, 68:5

debtors [18] - 10:2,

13:18, 25:8, 35:24,

42:25, 43:5, 43:10, 43:12, 50:4, 50:17, 51:3, 51:8, 51:9, 64:17, 66:16, 67:11, 67:12 debtors' [1] - 60:6 December [2] - 28:9, 39:9 decide [1] - 19:17 decided [2] - 30:2, 30:7 deciding [1] - 30:25 decision [1] - 46:12 decisions [1] - 17:17 deem [2] - 44:21, 56:21 defect [1] - 56:16 defend [5] - 48:21, 65:3, 65:5, 65:6, 66:1 defendant [9] -10:17, 11:13, 11:16, 12:16, 16:6, 46:20, 54:7, 54:9, 61:3 Defendants [33] -1:8, 1:14, 1:21, 2:7, 2:13, 2:20, 3:7, 3:15, 3:22, 4:6, 5:9, 10:2, 14:4, 14:14, 16:7, 19:2, 20:17, 21:6, 21:11, 21:16, 25:23, 41:18, 45:1, 49:22, 50:13, 50:14, 52:2, 61:15, 63:18, 63:24, 64:18, 65:25, 66:7 defendants [31] -12:19, 17:5, 18:19, 19:2, 25:6, 25:19, 25:23, 34:2, 38:11, 41:15, 41:23, 41:24, 42:5, 42:6, 42:10, 43:8, 44:2, 45:15, 45:22, 47:22, 47:24, 50:1, 50:14, 51:9, 58:9, 61:13, 63:23, 63:25, 64:1, 64:17, 67:22 Defendants' [5] -13:18, 16:13, 16:22, 50:11, 55:7 defended [1] - 66:7 defense [3] - 13:25, 24:6, 49:11 defenseless [1] deferred [1] - 22:10 definition [2] - 26:4, degree [3] - 22:20, 37:17, 53:15

Delaware [2] - 31:6,

| 37:11 |
|---|
| delay [1] - 41:11 |
| deliberated [1] - 39:6 |
| deliberations [1] - |
| 8:7 |
| delivering [1] - 8:8 |
| |
| demand [7] - 29:18, |
| 29:22, 40:6, 40:23, 64:5, 64:10, 64:12 |
| |
| demanded [1] - |
| 29:19 |
| demands [1] - 40:2 |
| demonstrating [1] - |
| 45:20 |
| denial [1] - 40:1 |
| denied [4] - 14:16, |
| 14:18, 27:8, 38:22 |
| Dennehey [2] - |
| 10:10, 58:22 |
| DENNEHEY [1] - |
| 5:14 |
| deny [1] - 16:16 |
| deposition [3] - |
| 29:13, 30:6, 59:14 |
| depositions [2] - |
| 16:24, 17:6 |
| deprive [2] - 15:3, |
| 64:2 |
| deprived [1] - 63:21 |
| Deputy [1] - 8:1 |
| DEPUTY [3] - 24:13, |
| 24:15, 24:19 |
| DeSilvester [1] - |
| 12:2 |
| DESILVESTER [2] - |
| 6:18, 12:1 |
| |
| desk [1] - 16:24 |
| despite [1] - 35:11 |
| determination [2] - |
| 47:22, 65:17 |
| determine [4] - |
| 59:24, 63:20, 65:9, |
| 66:11 |
| determined [5] - |
| 22:14, 62:2, 63:14, |
| 65:10, 65:15 |
| Dieterly [7] - 19:8, |
| 30:23, 31:4, 31:8, |
| 37:8, 37:9, 37:19 |
| differ [1] - 19:10 |
| difference [3] - |
| 15:22, 48:20, 64:24 |
| differences [2] - |
| 23:24, 37:19 |
| different [16] - 17:14, |
| 20:19, 21:2, 28:25, |
| 30:20, 31:1, 31:4, |
| 31:7, 34:19, 37:12, |
| 37:24, 48:12, 51:4, |
| 56:12, 59:22 |
| 00.12, 00.22 |

```
difficult [1] - 52:14
 difficulty [1] - 52:10
 DILWORTH [1] -
4:18
 Dilworth [1] - 9:17
 dime [1] - 39:15
 diminish [1] - 20:9
 direct [1] - 67:13
 disagree [1] - 41:6
 discovery [5] -
16:22, 17:3, 17:23,
48:3
 discretion [4] - 19:5,
51:13, 51:17, 51:21
 discuss [1] - 69:10
 discussions [1] -
64:7
 disingenuous [1] -
30:12
 dismayed [1] - 36:11
 dispute [1] - 29:11
 disputes [1] - 22:16
 distress [2] - 36:19,
59:19
 District [14] - 19:20,
22:10, 22:14, 31:6,
37:11, 44:18, 45:21,
46:1, 46:2, 46:3, 59:7,
60:19, 66:22, 67:25
 district [1] - 48:10
 DISTRICT [2] - 1:1,
1:2
 dithering [1] - 39:15
 diversity [1] - 20:2
 DLA [2] - 5:10, 11:22
 Docket [1] - 8:16
 docket [4] - 18:1,
54:25, 55:2, 55:3
 done [8] - 9:12,
16:16, 29:2, 29:5,
32:24, 41:11, 41:12,
41:21
 double [1] - 69:2
 double-spaced [1] -
69:2
 doubt [1] - 53:14
 down [7] - 9:14,
16:25, 24:16, 34:19,
39:10, 51:24, 69:9
 downtown [1] -
53:11
 drag [1] - 63:18
 dropped [1] - 64:9
 due [1] - 16:23
 duplicative [1] -
12:20
 during [2] - 17:2,
```

duty [1] - 36:21

```
Ε
 e-mail [1] - 61:21
 E.K [1] - 4:11
 Eagles [1] - 25:3
 easier [3] - 10:25,
33:9, 48:14
 easily [2] - 48:10,
50:10
 Eastern [2] - 59:6,
60:18
 EASTERN[1] - 1:2
 EDELSTEIN[1] - 7:2
 Edelstein [1] - 12:8
 effect [12] - 19:13,
19:14, 26:6, 31:18,
37:20, 38:4, 46:18,
47:1, 52:6, 63:14,
64:6, 67:7
 effective [2] - 31:21,
32:10
 efficiency [2] -
19:19, 59:7
 efficient [6] - 19:14,
28:22, 31:18, 31:20,
32:10, 37:22
 effort [3] - 13:20,
22:5, 40:10
 efforts [1] - 16:13
 Eight [7] - 5:20,
10:17, 46:20, 54:8,
55:8, 61:7, 61:18
 Eighty [7] - 5:20,
10:17, 46:20, 54:8,
55:8, 61:7, 61:18
 either [7] - 26:16,
32:19, 36:12, 37:14,
38:7, 38:11, 47:16
 elements [2] - 51:10,
51:11
 Eliades [2] - 10:6,
11:23
 ELIADES [32] - 5:7,
10:5, 41:17, 41:20,
41:22, 42:17, 42:22,
44:5, 44:8, 44:14,
45:5, 45:9, 45:13,
45:15, 46:15, 47:6,
47:13, 48:25, 49:2,
49:10, 49:16, 51:16,
51:22, 52:1, 52:21,
53:5, 53:12, 53:15,
53:23, 67:4, 67:7,
67:19
 eliminate [1] - 34:10
 EMILY [1] - 4:14
 emily [1] - 9:6
```

emotional [2] -

36:19, 59:19

```
employees [1] -
16:25
 employment[1] -
8:14
 end [4] - 9:23, 32:22,
60:10, 62:22
 enforce [1] - 31:23
 engage [3] - 13:21,
14:5, 39:8
 enormity [1] - 21:20
 entered [2] - 28:1,
61:19
 entire [2] - 47:10,
51:1
 entirety [1] - 50:4
 entities [6] - 5:12,
11:23, 41:23, 41:24,
43:9, 51:3
 entitled [4] - 41:5,
42:7, 44:15, 70:4
 ephemeral [1] -
15:22
 equal [1] - 60:9
 equally [1] - 52:13
 equitable [4] - 12:25,
13:5, 51:14, 56:4
 equivalent [1] - 18:2
 error [1] - 55:4
 ESQUIRE [19] - 4:14,
4:15, 4:18, 4:22, 5:2,
5:3, 5:7, 5:11, 5:14,
5:15, 5:18, 5:22, 6:3,
6:6, 6:10, 6:13, 6:18,
6:22, 7:3
 essentially [1] -
20:24
 establish [2] - 44:11,
49:20
 estate [18] - 26:4,
26:6, 26:24, 27:16,
31:19, 31:22, 32:4,
33:2, 34:1, 34:5,
34:10, 38:2, 38:4,
39:19, 42:14, 46:18,
47:18, 52:7
 estates [2] - 48:7,
67:9
 et [12] - 1:7, 1:13,
1:20, 2:6, 2:12, 2:19,
3:6, 3:14, 3:21, 4:5,
15:20, 57:25
 eve [1] - 15:20
 event [2] - 30:7, 41:2
 eventually [1] - 55:2
 evidence [4] - 14:3,
45:21, 49:21, 52:4
 EWING [1] - 5:18
 Ewing [3] - 10:17,
45:9, 54:7
 exactly [4] - 32:1,
```

42:17, 43:22, 44:19 exceed [1] - 27:3 except [2] - 31:24, 43:24 exception [2] -38:15, 53:20 excess [2] - 27:11, 64:12 **excitement** [1] - 25:2 **exclusive** [1] - 46:4 exercise [4] - 44:10, 44:23, 51:21, 56:4 **exercised** [3] - 43:9, 43:10, 43:16 existence [2] - 23:1, 38:6 existing [1] - 67:17 exorbitant [1] - 40:2 expended [1] - 17:23 experience [1] - 8:6 explain [3] - 19:3, 31:10, 31:13 explained [2] -10:20, 60:17 explanation [1] -15:17 exploitation [2] -13:15, 20:15 exploited [1] - 64:21 expose [1] - 64:20 expressed[1]-28:13 extension [1] - 53:1 extensive[1] - 30:17 extensively [1] - 51:8 extent [3] - 19:23, 48:2, 67:25 extra [1] - 8:9 extraordinary [1] -53:19 extremely [2] -46:22, 58:8

F

face [1] - 47:2
facetious [1] - 15:16
facilitate [1] - 32:13
facility [2] - 48:9,
48:21
fact [8] - 32:14,
36:11, 36:24, 44:17,
47:25, 48:17, 59:15,
61:19
factor [9] - 19:6,
19:8, 31:19, 34:12,
37:6, 38:4, 40:7, 52:8,
53:5
factors [11] - 19:13,

hEINOLD [1] - 23:25

HEINOLD [5] - 4:22,

30:1, 30:24, 31:7, 31:9, 31:12, 37:7, 40:6, 51:25, 52:2, facts [3] - 18:4, 19:11, 52:14 factual [1] - 22:15 factually [1] - 66:19 **fails** [1] - 51:6 fair [1] - 68:21 fairly [2] - 47:6, 58:11 Fairness [1] - 50:25 faith [8] - 13:24, 14:7, 14:11, 14:22, 29:2, 29:4, 41:10, fall [2] - 53:18, 56:19 falling [1] - 62:8 falls [1] - 20:8 familiar [3] - 17:12, 22:15, 55:11 family [1] - 57:24 far [5] - 16:18, 17:3, 17:19, 18:22, 53:9 fashion [1] - 9:3 favor [13] - 19:4, 31:9, 31:12, 31:20, 33:22, 33:23, 34:13, 38:13, 52:4, 52:8, 52:11, 53:6, 64:15 favorite [1] - 56:23 **favors** [2] - 28:15, 53:17 features [1] - 58:6 Federal [19] - 13:17, 15:23, 19:20, 20:1, 21:7, 32:17, 33:18, 34:22, 37:15, 42:24, 45:19, 52:8, 52:12, 53:21, 60:21, 64:25, 66:10, 66:21, 67:7 federal [3] - 17:8, 20:1, 21:13 **FELDMAN**[1] - 7:8 Feldman [3] - 9:13, 10:25, 70:9 fence [1] - 25:2 few [2] - 23:11, 59:5 fight [1] - 65:7 figure [2] - 21:13, 33.20 file [13] - 22:1, 30:2, 30:10, 30:11, 30:13, 43:9, 55:2, 57:10, 57:11, 64:1, 68:11, filed [50] - 8:25, 13:10, 13:19, 14:12, 14:15, 14:16, 16:3,

16:8, 16:11, 16:12, 27:19, 29:1, 29:4, 29:15, 29:16, 29:20, 30:3, 30:12, 30:15, 31:6, 37:8, 37:10, 41:23, 43:16, 44:21, 44:25, 45:16, 48:22, 50:17, 54:14, 54:16, 54:24, 55:1, 55:6, 55:9, 55:10, 55:24, 56:20, 61:11, 61:12, 61:14, 61:20, 61:22, 61:23, 61:25, 62:4, 63:12, 66:20 filing [14] - 13:18, 20:17, 30:22, 39:3, 39:6, 39:18, 40:16, 41:10, 44:1, 55:15, 55:19, 61:13, 68:10, 68:19 filings [1] - 21:8 finally [1] - 60:18 fine [3] - 9:10, 35:5, 51:23 fingers [1] - 31:15 finish [1] - 8:7 first [13] - 9:1, 9:9, 9:10, 14:13, 16:1, 19:13, 20:12, 25:6, 31:18, 44:4, 50:2, 50:10, 60:7 five [7] - 27:2, 27:3, 28:25, 29:15, 39:12, 57:17, 59:8 flesh [1] - 26:20 Floor [3] - 4:22, 5:8, 5:19 focus [2] - 51:13, 62:21 focusing [1] - 17:5 fogged [1] - 41:20 folks [3] - 24:20, 53:8. 62:21 follow [2] - 9:4, 38:18 following [2] - 10:20, 34:15 football [1] - 25:4 footnotes [1] - 69:3 FOR [2] - 1:2, 4:12

foregoing [1] - 70:3

10:9, 58:22

25:3, 36:3

58:22

FOREMAN [3] - 5:14,

Foreman [2] - 10:10,

forever [1] - 39:15

former [4] - 16:25,

form [1] - 14:17

forth [1] - 19:8

forum [11] - 13:21, 14:5, 15:4, 16:17, 29:5, 32:18, 33:19, 34:14, 41:11, 42:14, 64:3 forums [3] - 15:18, 34:10. 37:12 forward [1] - 19:3 four [12] - 6:14, 25:23, 33:14, 42:1, 42:3, 42:9, 43:8, 43:16, 43:18, 45:17, 49:5, 49:23 Frank [6] - 27:24, 28:6, 35:15, 36:3, 36:4, 60:13 Frank's [1] - 36:1 frankly [3] - 40:18, 52:8, 58:10 fraud [2] - 8:10, 24:24 freedom [1] - 47:16 frequently [1] -48:14 Friday [3] - 27:19, 28:1, 29:20 front [1] - 8:3

G

gamesmanship [1] -

frustrated [1] - 12:14

full [3] - 8:14, 9:10,

fundamentally [2] -

fully [1] - 59:4

64:24, 65:5

23:15

14:23 Gander [1] - 57:8 gander [1] - 57:9 Gates [1] - 10:6 **GATES**[1] - 5:7 gateway [1] - 45:24 gearing [1] - 41:7 GENE [1] - 4:11 girls [1] - 20:15 given [1] - 32:14 glasses [1] - 41:20 global [2] - 27:22, 67:23 **GOGGIN** [1] - 5:14 good-faith [1] goodness [1] - 48:25 goose [1] - 57:9 Goosey [1] - 57:8 grant [1] - 51:18 granted [2] - 27:21, 68:13

great [1] - 24:5 greater [1] - 48:9 greatly [1] - 27:3 greene [1] - 62:17 grew [1] - 57:6 grilled [1] - 17:6 grounds [1] - 51:14 Group [3] - 6:20, 10:7, 12:3 guess [6] - 12:12, 14:19, 26:20, 33:24, 41:2, 52:25 guessing [2] - 12:18 guests [1] - 36:22 **guided** [1] - 19:6 guys [2] - 32:20, 35:22

Н

habit [1] - 68:20

HAFER [1] - 6:21

Hafer [1] - 12:5

half [1] - 29:24

halt [3] - 13:20,

hand [4] - 27:7,

13:21, 16:13

49:15, 54:1, 62:3 handle [2] - 22:2, 52:20 handled [2] - 41:9, 53:7 handling [3] - 26:23, 47:17, 58:11 hands [2] - 34:3, 57:2 hanging [1] - 10:14 happy [4] - 8:20, 31:17, 51:10, 52:22 hard [5] - 18:2, 31:11, 47:4, 47:11, 53:11 harm [1] - 33:25 Harry [2] - 11:9, 60:24 HARRY [1] - 6:3 headings [1] - 61:24 hear [9] - 9:1, 12:12, 19:21, 22:24, 23:21, 24:2, 24:6, 24:7, 53:24 heard [7] - 13:13, 16:5, 18:10, 19:18, 19:20, 63:20, 64:9 hearing [4] - 28:14, 32:8, 60:2, 63:3 **HEARING** [1] - 4:12 heavier [1] - 25:16 heavily [1] - 31:19

9:20, 61:6, 61:10, 62:10 Heinold [1] - 9:21 held [2] - 38:16, 65.17 Heller [4] - 11:22, 61:16, 61:22, 62:4 **HELLER** [2] - 5:11, 11:21 hello [2] - 8:2, 9:23 Henry [1] - 57:7 herself [1] - 30:4 hiding [1] - 12:11 high [4] - 40:24, 64:11, 64:12 hinder [1] - 37:22 hired [1] - 27:14 hold [1] - 23:14 holding [3] - 8:23, 20:13, 69:18 holiday [1] - 57:25 Honor [146] - 9:6, 9:16, 9:20, 10:5, 10:9, 10:13, 11:2, 11:9, 11:15, 11:18, 11:21, 12:1, 12:4, 12:7, 12:23, 13:4, 13:8, 14:3, 14:9, 14:25, 15:2, 15:9, 15:14, 15:24, 16:9, 17:11, 17:16, 18:6, 18:23, 19:4, 19:12, 20:5, 21:1, 21:5, 21:15, 22:4, 22:9, 22:20, 22:23, 23:9, 23:11, 23:18, 23:25, 24:3, 24:9, 25:7, 25:18, 25:22, 26:2, 26:10, 26:14, 26:21, 27:4, 27:8, 27:12, 27:18, 27:19, 28:5, 28:12, 28:20, 29:1, 29:3, 29:15, 29:18, 29:23, 30:14, 30:23, 30:24, 31:14, 32:2, 32:7, 32:11, 33:3, 33:13, 33:19, 34:6, 34:21, 35:5, 35:23, 36:7, 36:16, 36:21, 37:1, 37:2, 37:18, 38:2, 38:6, 38:12, 38:14, 38:20, 39:5, 39:11, 40:19, 41:7, 41:17, 41:22, 42:3, 43:20, 45:17, 46:6, 49:2, 49:16, 50:10, 50:23, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11, 52:21, 54:2, 54:6,

62:6

65:3

itself [2] - 48:21,

Jacqueline [1] -

J

| 55:20, 57:12, 57:16, 57:19, 58:1, 58:4, 58:18, 58:23, 59:6, 59:9, 59:25, 60:18, 60:24, 61:6, 61:10, 61:25, 62:10, 62:25, 63:2, 63:7, 63:11, 63:17, 64:8, 64:16, 65:8, 65:22, 66:6, 66:9, 66:18, 66:23, 67:4, 67:21, 67:25, 68:8, 68:9, 69:22 Honor's [3] - 28:23, 67:9, 68:2 HONORABLE [1] - |
|--|
| 4:11 Honorable [2] - 27:9, |
| 59:23 |
| hope [1] - 69:19 |
| hopefully [1] - 40:15 |
| hopes [1] - 28:16 |
| hoping [1] - 12:18 |
| horse [1] - 47:9 Hospitality [4] - |
| 5:24, 6:15, 11:8, |
| 11:19 |
| hotel [13] - 17:2, |
| 18:19, 20:20, 20:23, |
| 25:25, 27:5, 27:14, |
| 29:8, 29:23, 30:17, |
| 31:3, 40:3 |
| Hotel [5] - 6:20, 10:7, |
| 10:8, 12:3, 17:4 |
| hotels [10] - 13:16, |
| 17:5, 18:15, 18:18, |
| 18:20, 18:21, 42:5, |
| 60:4, 63:23, 64:21 Hotels [2] - 10:7, |
| 11:22 |
| hour [1] - 61:23 |
| hours [2] - 16:8, |
| 17:23 |
| House [1] - 6:23 |
| house [1] - 30:7 |
| huge [1] - 31:2 |
| HUGHES [2] - 4:18, |
| 9:16 |
| Hughes [1] - 9:17 |
| Human [1] - 63:13 |
| human [2] - 59:20, 69:3 |
| hurdle [3] - 47:7, |
| 47:14, 49:19 |
| , 10.10 |
| |
| |

49:25

49:12

61:23

68:4

49:15

20:9

8:20

50:23

47:20

32:9, 48:21

IN [1] - 1:1

identified [1] - 11:4

imagine [2] - 35:2,

immediately [1] -

impact [9] - 19:16,

26:11, 26:23, 27:15,

32:3, 38:1, 40:3, 43:4,

impacts [1] - 47:17

implication [1] -

importance [1] -

important [3] - 18:8,

imported [1] - 17:7

in-house [1] - 30:7

inadvertent [1] -

inapplicable [1] -

inarguably [1] -

Inc [12] - 5:9, 6:4,

10:11. 11:11. 12:6.

25:20, 58:24, 60:25

includes [1] - 69:5

including [1] - 60:9

inconsistent [2] -

incorporating [1] -

indeed [1] - 59:16

42:7, 43:3, 46:23,

47:20

7:3

27:5

indemnification [4] -

Independence [1] -

independent [1] -

indicated [1] - 68:9

indisputably [1] -

individually [1] -

infliction [2] - 36:18,

Indicating [1] - 24:18

48:4, 48:16

6:23, 10:7, 10:8,

inch [1] - 69:4

improperly [1] - 50:3

information [2] -18:7, 36:6 initial [3] - 27:25, idea [2] - 17:8, 53:9 28:8, 45:20 ideal [1] - 41:6 injury [5] - 19:17, identical [2] - 44:25, 20:7, 44:18, 46:24, 63:3 INN [6] - 1:7, 1:13, 1:20, 2:6, 2:12, 4:5 Inn [18] - 5:5, 5:9, 8:16, 10:3, 10:8. 18:11, 18:13, 18:17, 25:20, 39:18, 50:11, 50:12, 50:13, 63:22 innkeeper [1] - 36:22 Innkeeper [1] - 52:17 Inns [6] - 6:4, 11:11, 17:1, 18:14, 18:15, 60:25 insignificant [1] -40:7 **institution** [1] - 18:5 institutional [1] -17:25 insurance [11] -27:7, 27:10, 29:16, 29:25, 32:15, 35:12, 36:8, 40:2, 40:21, 65:24, 66:8 insureds [2] - 25:25 insurers [4] - 27:23, 35:13, 36:2, 60:11 intention [2] - 60:5, 60:8 interacted [1] - 12:17 interest [2] - 13:14, 60:15 interesting [3] -25:4. 58:14. 69:20 interfere [1] - 31:25 interrupt [3] - 23:3, 23:14, 23:16 intimately [1] - 17:12 invested [1] - 22:6 investment [2] -15:20, 16:18 Investment [2] - 7:5, invoking [1] - 45:19 involve [2] - 13:15, 20:12 involved [3] - 49:11, 54:8, 58:9 involving [4] - 24:23, 58:7, 63:23, 67:11 issue [20] - 31:6, 33:23, 34:1, 36:17, 41:16, 49:15, 52:16, 52:19, 52:25, 54:11, 54:22, 54:24, 55:9, 56:17, 58:17, 59:17, 62:20, 64:23, 67:7, 67:19 issues [8] - 8:14,

54:11, 58:8, 62:3,

19:23, 21:17, 37:11,

JACQUELINE [1] -6:6 James [1] - 21:21 james [1] - 7:9 January [1] - 28:9 Jevic [1] - 50:19 **Jim** [1] - 30:5 John [2] - 6:14, 62:16 join [1] - 61:2 joined [1] - 54:9 joint [3] - 45:22, 49:25, 54:14 Jones [6] - 27:9, 35:18, 35:22, 35:25, 36:5, 36:11 Jones' [1] - 35:24 judge [5] - 17:21, 18:3, 21:13, 28:18, 29:14 Judge [43] - 14:16, 14:18, 16:1, 16:2, 17:17, 17:18, 17:19, 17:21, 18:24, 27:20, 27:24, 28:6, 28:9, 35:10, 35:15, 35:18, 35:22, 35:24, 35:25, 36:1, 36:3, 36:4, 36:5, 36:10, 41:20, 42:17, 43:7, 44:5, 45:5, 47:7, 48:25, 49:11, 51:16, 51:22, 53:5, 53:12, 53:23, 60:1, 60:4, 60:7, 60:13, 67:20, 68:6 judges [6] - 17:14, 17:22, 34:20, 37:24, 42:21, 58:10 judgment [4] - 31:24, 32:2, 63:22, 65:16 JUDSON [1] - 5:18 jump [1] - 54:3 June [2] - 16:1, 29:21 jurisdiction [18] -20:2, 33:5, 37:4, 42:24, 45:19, 46:2, 46:4, 46:7, 46:10, 46:17, 46:21, 51:18, 52:9, 53:21, 54:22, 67:8, 67:19, 68:1

jurisdictions [2] -31:4, 46:11 jury [14] - 8:6, 15:25, 16:8, 23:2, 23:6, 23:15, 24:18, 29:10, 30:19, 38:7, 44:16, 53:12, 60:20, 63:25 justice [1] - 55:19 Justina [1] - 10:1 **JUSTINA**[1] - 5:3

Κ

K&L [2] - 5:7, 10:6 **K.R** [15] - 1:10, 3:10, 4:16, 4:20, 6:11, 9:8, 9:18, 11:17, 12:24, 17:19, 41:24, 43:13, 43:19, 44:20, 49:24 **K.R.'s** [1] - 66:24 KATHERINE[1] -Katherine [1] - 12:5 Kathleen [1] - 70:9 **kATHLEEN**[1] - 7:8 keep [4] - 9:24, 31:22, 32:9, 61:9 keeping [2] - 28:20, 34:13 Kennedy [1] - 6:14 kept [1] - 32:19 key [1] - 37:19 kind [7] - 26:13, 28:17, 33:4, 37:6, 52:18, 65:12, 67:17 **KLINE** [1] - 4:14 Kline [2] - 9:7, 11:3 knocking [1] - 52:18 known [1] - 18:21 knows [1] - 27:8

L

L.P [6] - 5:20, 10:18, 46:20, 54:8, 55:8, 61:18 L.P.'s [1] - 61:7 lack [1] - 65:24 lacks [1] - 56:6 Lancaster [1] - 53:10 landlord [1] - 20:23 landowners [1] -20:14 lapsed [1] - 56:17 large [3] - 38:1, 64:5, 69:3 largest [2] - 18:19, 22:1

last [5] - 27:19, 38:9, 56:20, 57:2, 66:18 late [2] - 53:25, 57:2 law [25] - 9:4, 19:24, 19:25, 20:2, 20:4, 20:6, 20:13, 36:15, 36:20, 36:21, 36:23, 37:3, 37:5, 38:2, 38:15, 46:8, 52:11, 52:13, 54:19, 54:21, 56:5, 59:17, 63:8, 63:12, 63:14 LAW [1] - 62:19 Law [1] - 63:13 laws [1] - 59:20 lawsuit [9] - 10:12, 17:8, 42:4, 59:1, 59:8, 59:11, 60:3, 60:4 lawsuits [6] - 39:24, 39:25, 40:1, 41:23, 59:8, 66:11 lawyer [1] - 41:9 lawyers [1] - 58:10 lead [1] - 21:21 least [10] - 14:7, 15:7, 39:19, 42:15, 49:5, 52:2, 57:1, 64:24, 67:22, 69:19 leave [2] - 10:24, 62:23 leaves [1] - 67:12 **LEE** [1] - 5:3 left [2] - 22:24, 65:25 legal [3] - 14:7, 22:2, 58:13 legitimate [3] -30:18, 30:22, 40:22 letter [1] - 29:21 level [2] - 53:2, 53:3 liabilities [5] - 26:23, 47:15, 47:21, 48:1, liability [9] - 34:2, 40:11, 40:13, 42:11, 47:22, 47:23, 52:17, 53:4, 64:19 liable [1] - 47:25 Liberty [1] - 4:23 liens [1] - 39:22 life [1] - 47:10 lift [1] - 28:11 light [6] - 18:8, 30:21, 51:17, 51:18, 58:6, 60:2 lighthearted [1] -58:12 lightly [2] - 14:10, likely [1] - 28:9

limine [3] - 14:17,

16:3, 59:12 MAGOLIS [1] - 7:2 limitation [1] - 26:19 limitations [1] -56:13 limited [1] - 37:20 limits [3] - 27:12, 29:19, 39:11 line [3] - 58:21, 60:7, 69:6 **Line** [1] - 18:16 liquidate [3] - 33:6, 40:17, 41:1 list [2] - 9:11, 19:1 listen [1] - 8:20 literally [1] - 30:6 litigated [3] - 13:12, 16:10, 16:20 litigation [8] - 13:21, 16:14, 17:2, 21:20, 27:8, 35:12, 38:3, 50:5 litigations [7] -43:18, 43:21, 44:21, 49:5, 67:8, 67:14, 68:1 LLC [9] - 1:7, 1:13, 1:20, 2:6, 2:12, 4:5, 10:7, 25:20, 25:22 LLP [7] - 4:18, 5:2, 5:7, 5:10, 5:18, 6:21, located [2] - 18:16 Locust [1] - 4:15 **Logan** [1] - 5:3 look [2] - 40:9, 53:25 looking [11] - 9:11, 15:17, 32:16, 37:8, 40:17, 40:23, 40:25, 41:3, 52:1 looks [1] - 8:13 loose [1] - 26:16 low [3] - 47:7, 47:14, 49:19 lower [1] - 29:22 LTD[1] - 6:2 M

M.B [29] - 1:4, 4:16, 4:20, 5:16, 9:7, 9:17, 10:11, 12:23, 13:20, 14:15, 15:25, 16:9, 17:4, 17:16, 18:8, 18:11, 27:11, 29:9, 41:25, 42:2, 43:14, 59:1, 59:10, 60:3, 60:9, 60:13, 63:11, 63:15, 66:24 machine [1] - 7:11

Mahoney [3] - 11:10, **MAHONEY** [5] - 6:2, 6:3, 11:9, 11:10, 60:24 mail [1] - 61:21 main [3] - 22:21, 37:18, 53:16 major [1] - 57:24 Mall [1] - 7:3 Management [5] -7:5, 10:4, 10:8, 12:9, 25:21 manager [1] - 25:24 managers [1] - 16:25 mandatory [1] -60:12 manifest [1] - 34:8 manipulate [1] - 64:2 manipulation [1] -15:2 March [1] - 29:14 margins [1] - 69:4 Margolis [1] - 12:8 Marion [4] - 9:25, 25:7, 25:19, 59:25 **MARION** [40] - 5:2, 9:25, 25:7, 25:10, 25:13, 25:16, 25:18, 26:10, 26:14, 26:17, 26:20, 28:5, 28:12, 28:20, 31:14, 31:17, 32:1, 32:25, 33:3, 33:9, 33:13, 34:6, 34:21, 34:25, 35:4, 35:10, 35:17, 35:20, 36:7, 36:12, 36:16, 37:1, 38:24, 39:3, 39:5, 39:21, 40:1, 40:14, 41:14, 68:8 Market [12] - 4:19, 4:22, 5:11, 5:15, 5:19, 5:23, 6:3, 6:7, 6:10, 6:19, 7:9, 34:19 Marks [1] - 9:6 MARKS [39] - 4:14, 9:6, 12:23, 13:4, 13:8, 14:2. 14:9. 14:25. 15:2. 15:9. 15:14. 15:24, 17:11, 17:16, 18:6, 20:5, 20:12, 21:1, 21:5, 21:11, 21:15, 23:4, 23:9, 23:11, 23:18, 24:9, 62:25, 63:2, 63:7, 63:11, 64:8, 64:16, 65:8, 65:14, 65:22, 66:6, 66:15, 66:18, 69:22

marks [10] - 9:15, 11:4, 24:2, 24:4, 29:9, 30:3, 31:8, 33:15, 62:24, 68:9 MARSHALL[1] -Marshall [2] - 10:10, 58:22 MARTIN[2] - 5:22, 11:6 Martin [1] - 11:6 masks [1] - 10:21 masseur [1] - 20:22 masseuse [1] -20:22 mastery [1] - 18:3 matter [13] - 12:3, 12:6, 19:21, 23:19, 44:25, 50:3, 50:23, 51:19, 54:22, 59:6, 60:13, 60:14, 70:5 matters [12] - 12:9, 17:19, 17:21, 18:25, 21:7, 42:25, 48:10, 50:21, 58:11, 59:24, 63:3, 68:2 Matthew [2] - 10:16, 54:6 MATTHEW[1] - 5:18 McCormick [1] -11:7 mCCORMICK[1] -5:22 mean [27] - 15:16, 17:9, 20:8, 20:24, 21:15, 32:17, 33:13, 33:15, 33:21, 37:13, 40:2, 40:14, 41:10, 43:25, 47:3, 51:12, 51:14, 51:23, 54:3, 56:25, 64:11, 66:3, 67:18, 68:23, 68:24, 69:7 meant [1] - 63:3 mechanism [1] -44.17 media [2] - 30:15, mediate [1] - 67:14 mediation [14] -27:22, 27:24, 28:6, 28:8, 28:16, 35:11, 35:15, 35:25, 39:9, 60:12, 67:10, 67:23, 67:24, 68:3

mediator [1] - 27:24

Meghan [1] - 12:7

MEGHAN[1] - 7:3

MELANIE [1] - 5:14

Melanie [2] - 10:9,

58:22 memory [1] - 17:25 mention [1] - 27:18 mentioned [9] -16:12, 19:25, 22:5, 22:24, 30:3, 30:5, 59:10, 59:25, 61:24 met [1] - 52:3 might [10] - 15:19, 23:10, 25:15, 28:16, 30:10, 36:5, 42:13, 42:14, 64:12, 69:9 militate [1] - 52:4 mill [1] - 20:7 million [5] - 27:12, 29:19, 29:22, 29:24, 39:11 mind [3] - 9:22, 23:18, 24:21 minute [2] - 39:1, 64:25 minutes [1] - 23:12 misfilings [2] -62:14, 62:15 missed [1] - 8:19 mistakes [1] - 56:19 misunderstand [1] -58.12 mixed [1] - 35:6 mom [2] - 27:5, 31:3 money [2] - 65:1, 65.7 MONGELUZZI [1] -4:21 Mongeluzzi [1] months [2] - 22:12, morning [1] - 10:13 mortgage [1] - 39:19 most [9] - 19:6, 28:21, 29:6, 31:20, 32:10, 45:2, 45:15, 46:13, 46:14 motion [22] - 8:15, 14:15, 14:16, 14:17, 16:15, 21:25, 27:21, 30:10, 30:13, 30:17, 38:21, 45:1, 55:1, 55:3, 55:6, 55:10, 56:20, 56:21, 62:1, 68:19 **MOTION** [1] - 4:12 motions [9] - 8:25, 16:3, 21:18, 22:13, 30:3, 42:1, 44:21, 45:17, 59:12 Motor [9] - 5:5, 6:4,

10:3, 11:11, 17:1,

18:14, 18:15, 25:20,

nobody's [1] - 55:14

NJ [1] - 5:8

non [1] - 49:3

nondebtor [16] -

25:21, 43:1, 43:18,

44:9, 44:22, 45:16,

49:4, 49:17, 50:1,

50:16, 51:9, 67:15,

nondebtors [2] -

none [4] - 38:10,

44:14, 49:22, 60:9

normal [1] - 69:3

North [3] - 17:1,

0

obviously [4] - 27:1,

28:23, 33:3, 55:16

occur [1] - 64:23

nondebtor-related

67:21

[1] - 25:21

10:3, 33:25

45:23, 47:19, 47:24,

60:25 motor [1] - 20:7 move [4] - 14:20, 19:3, 36:14, 53:25 moved [1] - 59:14 moving [1] - 37:3 MR [108] - 9:16, 9:20, 9:25, 10:5, 10:13, 10:16, 11:2, 11:9, 11:15, 11:18, 11:21, 23:25, 25:7, 25:10, 25:13, 25:16, 25:18, 26:10, 26:14, 26:17, 26:20, 28:5, 28:12, 28:20, 31:14, 31:17, 32:1, 32:25, 33:3, 33:9, 33:13, 34:6, 34:21, 34:25, 35:4, 35:10, 35:17, 35:20, 36:7, 36:12, 36:16, 37:1, 38:24, 39:3, 39:5, 39:21, 40:1, 40:14, 41:14, 41:17, 41:20, 41:22, 42:17, 42:22, 44:5, 44:8, 44:14, 45:5, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15, 46:15, 47:6, 47:13, 48:25, 49:2, 49:10, 49:16, 51:16, 51:22, 52:1, 52:21, 53:5, 53:12, 53:15, 53:23, 54:2, 54:6, 54:14, 54:18, 55:17, 55:20, 55:22, 55:25, 56:2, 56:5, 56:9, 56:11, 56:14, 56:25, 57:4, 57:12, 57:14, 57:16, 57:19, 57:23, 58:1, 58:4, 58:18, 59:1, 59:3, 60:24, 61:6, 61:10, 62:10, 67:4, 67:7, 67:19 MS [46] - 9:6, 10:9, 11:6, 11:12, 12:1, 12:4, 12:7, 12:23, 13:4, 13:8, 14:2, 14:9, 14:25, 15:2, 15:9, 15:14, 15:24, 17:11, 17:16, 18:6, 20:5, 20:12, 21:1, 21:5, 21:11, 21:15, 23:4, 23:9, 23:11, 23:18, 24:9, 35:23, 58:22, 62:25, 63:2, 63:7, 63:11, 64:8, 64:16, 65:8, 65:14, 65:22, 66:6, 66:15, 66:18,

69:22

multi [1] - 28:8

multi-day [1] - 28:8 multiple [3] - 30:20, 42:4, 56:9 multiplicity [1] -34:10 must [2] - 55:24, 69:5

N

NADEEM [1] - 4:15

Nadeem [1] - 11:2

nail [1] - 69:9

name [1] - 8:16

names [1] - 9:14

narrow [1] - 58:17

Nathan [1] - 11:21

NATHAN[1] - 5:11 18:14, 18:15 natural [2] - 23:5, NORTH [1] - 6:2 Northampton [1] nature [1] - 52:10 53:10 nearing [1] - 16:21 Northeast [1] - 27:6 nearly [2] - 16:24, notch [1] - 52:18 33:15 note [2] - 8:17, 42:1 necessarily [2] noted [2] - 47:18, 48:14, 53:3 52.12 need [6] - 14:10, nothing [1] - 59:14 23:19, 24:11, 42:10, notice [4] - 27:18, 57:3, 57:13 54:14, 61:12, 61:13 needs [2] - 51:2, notices [3] - 43:17, 62:22 50:11, 50:16 negative [4] - 30:16, notified [2] - 35:25, 30:19, 30:21, 47:16 61:22 negligence [5] notion [2] - 15:22, 20:13, 36:18, 59:18, 65:19 59:23, 63:12 novel [1] - 63:8 negligent [2] - 36:18, November [2] - 28:4, 59:18 negligible [1] - 27:6 now's [1] - 68:15 negotiations [1] -Number [1] - 8:16 68:18 number [5] - 20:20, neutral [1] - 37:6 39:14, 46:20, 64:10, never [2] - 8:10, 63:3 68:21 New [1] - 17:18 numerous [1] new [9] - 20:6, 36:20, 22:15 52:18, 52:19, 52:23, nunc [2] - 56:23, 53:2, 53:3, 59:19 62:1 Newark [2] - 5:8, 5:8 news [1] - 30:20 next [9] - 10:22, 11:1, 19:23, 22:20, 23:1, 27:25, 29:11, o'clock [1] - 69:13 37:7, 38:6 O'CONNOR [1] - 6:6 O'Connor [1] - 11:13 nice [1] - 15:12 night [3] - 29:20, objection [1] - 45:18 56:20, 57:2 objective [1] - 64:20

nightmare [5] -

34:18, 35:3, 35:4,

42:18, 42:20

October [2] - 28:1, 69:12 **OF** [1] - 1:2 offended [1] - 20:22 office [1] - 61:16 Official [2] - 7:8, 70:9 old [1] - 18:12 ON [1] - 4:12 once [5] - 48:22, 49:18, 51:20, 56:6, 56:16 One [2] - 4:23, 5:8 one [42] - 5:3, 12:16, 12:19, 12:20, 18:3, 19:12, 22:1, 22:17, 25:3, 27:2, 27:21, 29:12, 29:15, 30:1, 32:7, 32:19, 32:22, 33:19, 34:14, 37:1, 37:7, 37:19, 38:6, 39:12, 40:23, 42:9, 44:1, 44:2, 45:4, 47:11, 48:3, 48:15, 56:10, 57:6, 58:20, 60:6, 66:18, 67:4, 69:1, 69:2, 69:4 one-inch [1] - 69:4 one-sided [1] - 69:2 ongoing [1] - 35:13 open [1] - 67:12 opened [1] - 8:1 operate [2] - 29:8, 65:3 operating [2] -18:17, 40:4 operators [1] - 20:14 opponent [1] - 62:12 opponents [1] -23:21 opportunism [1] opportunity [13] -30:8, 34:22, 41:3, 44:3, 44:5, 44:6, 44:9, 44:11, 57:1, 59:5, 63:22, 68:22, 68:25 opposed [6] - 9:23, 12:20, 15:21, 17:25, 18:4, 53:20 opposing [1] - 69:8 opposition [2] -30:11, 34:8

oppositions [1] -

options [4] - 26:23,

order [4] - 8:21, 28:1,

47:16, 48:1, 48:6

oral [1] - 8:14

67:12, 67:16

45:16

occurs [2] - 67:24

ordered [5] - 27:20, 35:11, 39:8, 60:11, 67:10 orderly [1] - 29:6 orders [1] - 27:20 organization [1] -31:2 original [1] - 46:3 otherwise [2] -53:21, 63:4 outcome [8] - 13:14, 26:5, 26:22, 38:3, 46:18, 47:15, 47:18, 64:20 outside [1] - 37:4 overemphasize[1] -45:11 overview [1] - 43:7 owners [1] - 40:3 P

P.C [2] - 5:22, 6:13 **PA** [17] - 4:9, 4:16, 4:19, 4:23, 5:4, 5:12, 5:16, 5:20, 5:23, 6:4, 6:7, 6:11, 6:15, 6:19, 6:23, 7:4, 7:10 Pacor [2] - 46:11, 46:16 pages [3] - 69:2, 69:5, 69:7 paid [1] - 66:7 pandemic [1] - 16:23 panoply [1] - 23:15 papers [2] - 29:18, 68:23 part [5] - 13:25, 18:18, 21:3, 57:5, 63:9 partial [1] - 68:3 partially [2] - 12:18, 62:5 participate [4] -27:23, 35:14, 60:12, 67:23 participation [1] -36:1 particular [7] -12:16, 17:1, 18:7, 22:17, 33:23, 52:16, 58:6 particularly [2] -8:23, 32:13 parties [33] - 16:3, 16:4, 19:7, 19:10, 20:16, 25:8, 25:21, 27:22, 28:7, 29:13, 35:13, 38:10, 43:1,

| 43:12, 43:14, 43:18, |
|---|
| 43:20, 44:14, 44:22, 45:16, 45:23, 49:4, |
| 49:20, 50:1, 50:6, |
| 50:16, 60:5, 60:16, 62:3, 67:11, 67:14, |
| 67:17, 67:21 |
| partner [2] - 21:22, |
| 30:5 parts [1] - 34:11 |
| party [7] - 20:22, |
| 45:19, 45:25, 51:5, 54:9, 54:24, 55:1 |
| party's [1] - 47:19 |
| passed [1] - 56:22 |
| past [1] - 53:2 Patel [4] - 5:5, 10:4, |
| 25:22, 25:24 |
| path [2] - 40:20, |
| 46:10 Paxson [1] - 9:17 |
| PAXSON [1] - 4:18 |
| PC [2] - 4:14, 4:21 |
| peers [2] - 60:20, 63:25 |
| pendency [2] - 17:2, |
| 35:12 pending [10] - 22:11, |
| 22:16, 27:2, 27:9, |
| 29:7, 33:11, 37:9, |
| 39:12, 43:20, 46:1 Penn [1] - 6:14 |
| Pennsylvania [7] - |
| 19:25, 36:21, 37:4, 37:5, 59:20, 60:19, |
| 63:13 |
| PENNSYLVANIA[1] |
| - 1:2 people [5] - 58:8, |
| 58:9, 64:15, 67:16, |
| 68:21 per [1] - 13:1 |
| perhaps [1] - 41:5 |
| period [3] - 56:16, |
| 61:15, 62:22 permissive [5] - |
| 13:1, 13:3, 44:23, |
| 49:20, 52:4 |
| permitting [1] - 60:6 person [1] - 49:10 |
| personal [6] - 19:17, |
| 20:7, 20:21, 44:18, 46:23, 63:3 |
| Peter [1] - 9:16 |
| PETER [1] - 4:18 |
| philadelphia [1] - 5:23 |
| PHILADELPHIA [1] - |
| 4:9 |
| Philadelphia [36] - |

```
4:16, 4:19, 4:23, 5:4,
                            25:14
5:12, 5:16, 5:20, 6:4,
6:7, 6:11, 6:15, 6:19,
7:4, 7:10, 13:13,
13:16, 15:4, 17:22,
18:9, 18:15, 18:21,
22:6, 27:6, 31:5, 37:9,
                            65:8, 66:18, 67:5
37:14, 37:15, 53:7,
53:11, 60:19, 63:18,
63:21, 63:23, 63:24
                            59:5
 phrase [1] - 42:18
 pick [1] - 51:2
 picks [1] - 57:19
 piece [1] - 36:6
                            27:7
 Pike [1] - 6:22
 PIPER [1] - 5:10
 Piper [1] - 11:22
                            64:14, 64:17
 place [4] - 18:22,
28:9, 44:4, 64:2
 Place [1] - 4:23
 Plaintiff [11] - 1:5,
                            31:3, 67:2
1:11, 1:18, 2:4, 2:10,
2:17, 3:4, 3:11, 3:18,
4:3, 4:24
                            55:11
 plaintiff [16] - 9:21,
15:7, 24:1, 30:23,
42:9, 44:25, 50:13,
52:11, 52:15, 53:18,
55:5, 56:20, 59:20,
60:20, 66:20
 plaintiffs [30] - 8:25,
                            67:13
9:1, 9:7, 11:3, 12:13,
12:23, 13:12, 15:3,
15:9, 16:17, 18:10,
18:12, 23:24, 27:1,
30:14, 37:25, 39:10,
                            16:23
42:3, 43:22, 44:15,
44:20, 49:22, 49:24,
50:2, 50:7, 50:19,
52:3, 58:8, 63:19,
64:2
 Plaintiffs [2] - 4:16,
4:20
                            56:18, 68:2
 plaintiffs' [12] - 9:8,
19:24. 20:6. 23:23.
29:17, 29:21, 30:9,
48:19, 59:17, 65:14,
66:11
 plan [1] - 31:23
                            36:15
 planning [2] - 8:22,
54:4
                            19:24
 playbook [1] - 64:1
 player [1] - 25:4
 Pleas [12] - 15:5,
17:22, 18:5, 18:9,
22:6, 22:15, 31:5,
                            62:2, 62:7
37:10, 39:9, 43:15,
53:13, 63:19
                            15:7, 15:10, 65:4
 plus [1] - 22:2
 podium [2] - 25:10,
```

```
point [16] - 14:23,
14:24, 18:1, 23:8,
24:22, 29:19, 43:19,
48:19, 51:24, 54:4,
54:16, 62:11, 63:7,
 pointed [1] - 46:6
 points [2] - 59:3,
 poised [1] - 60:14
 poisoned [1] - 30:19
 policies [2] - 26:1,
 policy [6] - 27:12,
29:19, 38:16, 39:11,
 pool [1] - 40:21
 poorly [1] - 16:8
 pop [4] - 23:21, 27:5,
 portion [1] - 20:20
 pose [2] - 34:15,
 posed [1] - 8:14
 posing [2] - 53:4,
 position [1] - 44:15
 positively [1] - 47:16
 possibility [1] -
 POST [1] - 6:13
 Post [1] - 11:19
 postpone [1] - 14:20
 postponed [1] -
 posture [1] - 43:22
 potential [5] - 13:24,
46:10. 47:19. 48:4.
 potentially [1] - 43:3
 power [3] - 56:15,
 practice [1] - 57:7
 PRATTER [1] - 4:11
 precedent [1] - 38:7
 precise [1] - 69:12
 predominance [1] -
 predominate [1] -
 predominates [1] -
 prejudice [7] - 15:18,
15:21, 38:9, 53:17,
 prejudiced [4] - 15:6,
 preliminary [2] -
23:6, 28:7
```

```
presents [1] - 66:9
 presiding [2] - 17:20,
18:25
 presumably [2] -
8:21, 12:17
 pretenses [1] - 63:17
 pretty [1] - 51:8
 previously [2] - 50:4,
55:1
 PriceWaterhouse [1]
- 46:8
 primary [2] - 12:19,
27:11
 principle [1] - 57:15
 principles [4] - 37:3,
55:12, 56:4, 58:14
 Priore [1] - 11:7
 PRIORE [1] - 5:22
 priority [1] - 60:10
 pro [2] - 56:23, 62:1
 problem [2] - 10:23,
15:13
 problems [3] - 58:3,
58:5, 69:14
 procedural [1] -
56:16
 proceed [2] - 9:5,
42:25
 proceeding [5] -
26:3, 29:4, 40:19,
43:10, 49:8
 proceedings [9] -
22:21, 32:5, 32:13,
37:18, 46:4, 53:16,
64:18, 66:14, 70:4
 process [1] - 38:18
 produced [1] - 7:11
 profound [1] - 38:3
 Promislo [1] - 11:12
 PROMISLO [2] - 6:6,
11:12
 prompted [1] - 39:24
 prompting [1] -
29:17
 pronouncement [1] -
 proper [3] - 29:1,
44:12, 45:21
 properly [3] - 29:3,
49:18, 50:21
 proposition [1] -
50:20
 protection [2] - 30:2,
65:12
 protocol [2] - 10:20,
24:6
```

preponderance [3] -

present [2] - 37:13,

45:21, 49:21, 52:3

49:24

provide [3] - 18:6, 26:1, 28:21 provided [1] - 33:4 provides [4] - 44:17, 45:24, 46:16, 51:1 PRUDENTE [2] -6:22, 12:4 Prudente [1] - 12:5 publicity [2] - 30:16, 30:20 purpose [2] - 34:8, 38:17 purposes [3] - 14:13, 28:24, 29:5 pursuant [3] - 12:24, 45:23, 50:24 pursuing [1] - 40:20 pushing [1] - 53:1 put [7] - 8:12, 11:24, 28:13, 36:10, 51:23, 61:1, 65:6

Q

questions [3] - 24:3, 24:7, 68:22 quick [1] - 31:15 quickly [1] - 33:17 Quinlan [3] - 21:21, 21:25, 30:5 quite [6] - 16:10, 20:10, 32:17, 34:2, 35:7, 39:12 quotation [2] -62:13, 62:17 quote [2] - 22:1, 62:17

R

raised [3] - 55:9, 62:7. 69:8 Ramara [2] - 6:23, rather [4] - 29:5, 36:17, 50:5 reached [1] - 8:7 read [1] - 69:3 ready [5] - 15:20, 24:13, 24:14, 24:15, 32:20 real [2] - 30:18, 39:19 realized [1] - 56:21 really [19] - 13:3, 13:25, 14:1, 18:2, 23:14, 27:4, 30:21, 32:15, 36:20, 37:22, 38:10, 38:14, 40:8,

| 41:11, 51:13, 58:16, 68:23, 69:7, 69:14 reason [1] - 26:15 reasons [1] - 38:20 reassigned [2] - 16:2, 17:21 recap [1] - 43:7 recently [2] - 18:24, 27:20 recess [1] - 24:25 |
|--|
| recognize [1] - 58:13 recommend [1] - 36:4 |
| record [6] - 8:17, 11:24, 59:15, 61:1, 61:17, 70:4 records [1] - 8:17 |
| Reed [1] - 57:7 refer [4] - 8:16, 19:9, 25:22, 68:2 reference [1] - 21:19 |
| referenced [1] - 22:9 referral [1] - 68:4 regard [2] - 15:25, 17:4 |
| regarding [1] - 49:25 reign [1] - 26:16 rejoined [1] - 43:21 related [30] - 10:2, |
| 19:2, 25:8, 25:21, 26:3, 26:5, 26:9, 26:16, 26:19, 26:24, 26:25, 27:17, 31:22, 34:23, 43:12, 43:14, 46:5, 46:7, 46:10, 46:16, 46:17, 46:21, 47:5, 49:3, 49:6, |
| 49:17, 55:16, 67:11 relatedness [3] - 22:21, 37:17, 53:15 relating [1] - 37:11 |
| relationship [1] - 42:15 relatively [3] - 36:20, |
| 48:10, 58:12 relied [1] - 34:9 relies [1] - 30:23 rely [1] - 24:4 |
| remain [4] - 38:21, 41:4, 60:3, 60:21 remaining [1] - 43:15 remains [1] - 60:15 REMAND [1] - 4:12 |
| remand [36] - 8:15, 8:25, 12:13, 12:24, 12:25, 13:5, 19:4, 19:5, 19:10, 19:13, 19:15, 30:25, 33:23, 33:24, 33:25, 34:5, 37:20, 38:5, 41:16, |

| 42:1, 44:22, 45:17, | representing [1] - |
|---|---|
| 45:18, 49:20, 50:9, | 48:23 |
| 51:7, 51:13, 52:5, | request [1] - 16:7 |
| 53:19, 55:2, 55:3, | requesting [1] - |
| 55:6, 56:7, 56:16, | 21:24 |
| 66:24, 68:19 | requires [1] - 55:19 |
| remanded [5] - 13:9, | reset [1] - 17:9 |
| 22:19, 50:8, 65:20, 66:22 | resist [1] - 47:11 |
| remander [1] - 18:22 | resolution [3] - |
| remanding [4] - | 28:17, 32:12, 65:24 resolve [2] - 29:7, |
| 19:5, 31:9, 31:20, | 60:14 |
| 60:13 | resolved [1] - 32:15 |
| remedies [1] - 53:20 | resolving [1] - 17:23 |
| remember [2] - 57:7, | Resorts [2] - 10:7, |
| 62:13 | 11:22 |
| remind [2] - 62:17, | resources [1] - 22:5 |
| 68:9 | respect [6] - 19:12, |
| reminiscent [2] - | 22:8, 32:5, 42:1, 42:2 |
| 20:25, 21:1 | 49:23 |
| remoteness [3] - | respectfully [1] - |
| 22:21, 37:17, 53:16 | 56:25 |
| removal [21] - 22:12, | respond [3] - 15:24, |
| 28:25, 29:3, 31:12, | 57:1, 61:6 |
| 33:4, 33:24, 34:4, 35:8, 38:11, 38:13, | responded [2] - |
| 43:17, 44:11, 45:20, | 62:5, 62:6 |
| 45:22, 50:11, 50:17, | response [1] - 55:9 |
| 50:22, 50:25, 54:14, | responses [2] - 16:4 62:4 |
| 55:7, 61:12 | rest [1] - 44:3 |
| removals [3] - 44:21, | result [4] - 13:4, |
| 49:25, 54:20 | 13:5, 65:23, 66:21 |
| remove [4] - 38:18, | results [1] - 48:4 |
| 45:25, 51:5, 68:12 | retain [1] - 68:1 |
| removed [21] - 8:24, | retained [1] - 42:24 |
| 17:9, 26:2, 31:3, | retired [1] - 36:3 |
| 38:10, 38:12, 43:11, | retroactively [2] - |
| 43:13, 43:19, 49:18, | 56:21, 62:1 |
| 50:3, 50:4, 50:12, 50:21, 50:24, 51:2, | return [1] - 13:5 |
| 51:3, 54:25, 55:8, | returned [1] - 9:2 |
| 59:6, 66:20 | rights [6] - 26:22, |
| removing [3] - 43:17, | 43:5, 47:15, 48:1, |
| 44:14, 45:16 | 48:5, 60:9 |
| reorganize [5] - 29:8, | risk [2] - 40:24, 49:7 Ritz [2] - 6:20, 12:3 |
| 40:16, 40:18, 41:3, | Robins [1] - 17:18 |
| 41:12 | Rome [4] - 10:1, |
| repeat [1] - 68:24 | 21:22, 21:23, 25:19 |
| repeatedly [1] - 60:5 | ROME [1] - 5:2 |
| repetition [1] - 8:23 | RONON [1] - 6:9 |
| replacement [1] - | Ronon [1] - 11:16 |
| 21:20 | room [1] - 24:16 |
| reported [1] - 28:15 | ROOSEVELT [6] - |
| Reporter [2] - 7:8, 70:9 | 1:7, 1:13, 1:20, 2:6, |
| represent [6] - | 2:12, 4:5 |
| 11:19, 24:1, 35:23, | Roosevelt [43] - 5:5, |
| 58:23, 59:10, 61:18 | 5:24, 6:15, 8:16, 10:2, |
| represented [1] - | 10:3, 11:7, 11:20, |
| 61:15 | 13:18, 14:4, 14:14, |
| | 16:7, 16:13, 16:21, |

```
32:15
- 17:23
- 22:5
            59:12
:1, 42:2,
            57:24
            20:7
2] - 16:4,
            65:21
            6:17
            12:7
            11:15
            50:9
3] - 5:5,
6, 10:2,
              SCHELL[1] - 6:13
```

17:4, 18:11, 18:13, scoot [1] - 64:13 18:14, 18:18, 19:2, Scout [3] - 22:14, 20:17, 21:6, 21:11, 22:19, 66:19 21:16, 25:20, 25:23, Scouts [4] - 19:9, 27:6, 39:18, 50:11, 22:9, 31:1 50:12, 50:13, 55:6, seats [2] - 8:2, 10:14 61:11, 63:18, 63:22, second [4] - 23:3, 63:24, 64:17, 65:25, 43:6, 44:12, 50:18 66:6, 66:16 Section [1] - 38:17 root [1] - 58:15 section [1] - 34:9 Rose [4] - 5:24, 6:15, secured [1] - 32:3 11:8, 11:19 securities [2] - 8:10, routine [1] - 23:20 24:24 **Roxbury** [1] - 56:14 security [1] - 27:13 RPR [2] - 7:8, 70:9 Security [2] - 10:11, rule [5] - 38:15, 58:23 53:21, 54:21, 57:8, see [5] - 35:7, 36:3, 39:23, 42:22, 51:24 Rule [1] - 57:8 seeing [1] - 32:17 ruled [1] - 28:12 seek [2] - 12:24, 27:1 rules [3] - 57:6, 69:1 seeking [4] - 13:8, ruling [2] - 22:13 42:4, 49:20, 62:1 rulings [3] - 43:4, seem [1] - 39:16 48:3, 59:13 selection [3] - 15:25, run [3] - 20:7, 31:10, 16:9, 29:10 selling [1] - 20:15 run-of-the-mill [1] sense [2] - 9:8, 36:4 sent [3] - 29:21, running [2] - 65:20, 61:20, 64:1 separate [5] - 41:9, runs [1] - 56:6 42:21, 43:17, 44:21, 61:9 S separately [1] -43:11 SEPTEMBER [1] sacrosanct [1] - 57:6 4:10 **sALTZ**[1] - 4:21 September [1] -Saltz [1] - 9:21 61:14 Saltzburg [1] - 12:2 serious [10] - 39:17, SALTZBURG[1] -49:3, 49:16, 58:7, 58:8, 58:11, 58:13, Sandora [1] - 12:8 58:14, 58:16, 69:14 **SANDORA**[2] - 7:3, serve [1] - 12:21 session [1] - 61:1 **SASSO**[2] - 6:10, set [3] - 8:14, 19:8, 52:14 Sasso [1] - 11:15 setting [1] - 65:1 satisfied [3] - 10:22, settled [2] - 36:21, 47:1, 49:22 52:13 satisfies [1] - 47:14 settlement [7] satisfy [2] - 50:8, 29:18, 29:22, 39:8, 40:2, 40:6, 64:5, 64:7 **SAUL** [1] - 5:18 settlements [1] -Saul [3] - 10:17, 64:15 45:9, 54:7 seven [8] - 19:6, saw [1] - 27:19 19:7, 31:7, 57:16, scheduled [2] -57:17, 69:2, 69:5, 15:25, 29:11 69:7 Schell [1] - 11:19 seven-factor [1] -

19:6

16:19, 17:11, 21:8,

21:16, 22:10, 22:11,

22:13, 28:25, 32:19,

33:14, 33:18, 36:25,

37:14, 43:1, 43:4,

| several [2] - 22:13, |
|---|
| 42:5 |
| sex [7] - 18:11, 18:13, 18:21, 20:15, |
| 21:2, 52:17, 63:15 |
| sexual [2] - 13:15, |
| 20:14 |
| sexually [1] - 64:21 |
| shall [1] - 46:3 shed [1] - 60:2 |
| Shelley [1] - 17:18 |
| shield [6] - 40:11, |
| 40:12, 40:13, 55:12, |
| 55:13, 64:19 |
| shifts [1] - 49:19 |
| shocks [1] - 39:14 |
| shopping [4] - 13:22, 14:5, 29:5, 41:11 |
| short [1] - 67:4 |
| shorthand [1] - 7:11 |
| shortly [1] - 30:8 |
| shown [1] - 39:20 |
| side [1] - 25:1 |
| sided [1] - 69:2 sign [1] - 9:12 |
| sign-in [1] - 9:12 |
| signature [1] - 69:6 |
| significant [1] - 32:3 |
| significantly [1] - |
| 48:6 |
| similar [3] - 54:24, |
| 55:5, 66:19 simply [5] - 14:3, |
| 19:21, 36:4, 59:4, |
| 60:7 |
| single [3] - 18:1, |
| 42:4, 48:9 |
| sit [2] - 23:15, 63:22 |
| situation [5] - 31:1, 33:5, 42:22, 44:19, |
| 66:9 |
| six [1] - 22:12 |
| size [1] - 22:1 |
| skipped [1] - 12:11 |
| slam [1] - 21:9 |
| slip [1] - 20:8 smacks [2] - 13:23, |
| 15:21 |
| Smith [4] - 10:16, |
| 54:7, 61:17, 61:25 |
| SMITH [28] - 5:18, |
| 10:13, 10:16, 54:2, 54:6, 54:14, 54:18, |
| 55:17, 55:20, 55:22, |
| 55:25, 56:2, 56:5, |
| 56:9, 56:11, 56:14, |
| 56:25, 57:4, 57:12, |
| 57:14, 57:16, 57:19, |
| 57:23, 58:1, 58:4, 58:18, 59:1, 59:3 |
| 00.10, 00.1, 00.0 |

| soft [1] - 30:11 |
|---|
| sold [4] - 17:2, 18:11, 18:13, 18:17 |
| sometimes [1] - |
| 58:11 |
| somewhat [2] - |
| 16:23, 69:19 |
| somewhere [2] - 21:10, 26:18 |
| soon [1] - 40:23 |
| sorry [4] - 13:7, 29:2, |
| 35:17, 57:18 |
| sort [5] - 17:24, 18:3, |
| 40:21, 48:21, 51:15 |
| sorts [1] - 43:2 |
| sound [4] - 14:6, 52:23, 58:1, 59:18 |
| sounds [3] - 15:16, |
| 23:7, 58:2 |
| sources [1] - 30:21 |
| spa [2] - 20:21, 20:23 |
| spaced [1] - 69:2 |
| speaking [3] - 8:13, 42:9, 58:5 |
| specific [1] - 24:3 |
| specifically [5] - |
| 46:22, 47:23, 51:5, |
| 54:9, 63:10 |
| Specter [2] - 9:7, 11:3 |
| SPECTER [1] - 4:14 |
| speed [1] - 36:5 |
| speedier [1] - 32:12 |
| spends [1] - 47:9 |
| SPITZ [2] - 6:13, 11:18 |
| Spitz [1] - 11:19 |
| spookiness [1] - |
| 48:16 |
| spots [1] - 24:21 |
| Spring [1] - 6:23 |
| squabble [1] - 62:23 Square [3] - 5:3, |
| 5:19, 6:18 |
| stages [2] - 28:25, |
| 37:24 |
| stamped [1] - 61:21 |
| standard [1] - 51:16 |
| standpoint [1] - 14:8 started [6] - 10:19, |
| 16:12, 29:12, 29:13, |
| 59:13, 59:15 |
| starting [1] - 39:17 |
| starts [1] - 17:9 |
| state [10] - 12:24, 19:24, 20:4, 36:15, |
| 36:23, 37:2, 37:12, |
| 50:11, 52:13, 63:8 |
| State [36] - 9:2, 13:6, |

13:9, 15:10, 15:22,

```
43:23, 44:22, 48:12,
49:8, 52:12, 52:20,
59:9, 59:12, 65:1,
65:3, 65:6, 65:20,
66:10, 66:20, 66:23,
66:24
 statements [1] -
67:21
 STATES[1] - 1:1
 status [1] - 32:2
 statute [9] - 50:22,
51:1, 51:4, 55:24,
56:12, 59:19, 59:21,
63:9
 statutes [1] - 60:16
 statutory [7] - 43:10,
43:16, 44:6, 51:17,
51:18, 56:16, 68:13
 stay [10] - 8:4, 19:1,
25:11, 28:11, 40:14,
40:15, 65:12, 66:3,
66:4, 66:13
 stayed [3] - 60:3,
60:15, 66:15
 staying [2] - 40:4,
53:6
 Stella [1] - 16:2
 step [1] - 40:8
 steps [1] - 68:11
 STEVENS [1] - 6:9
 Stevens [1] - 11:16
 stick [2] - 24:6, 40:12
 still [5] - 32:22, 37:4,
40:4, 51:20, 54:1
 stop [3] - 14:5,
14:14, 14:22
 stopped [1] - 21:23
 stopping [1] - 14:13
 story [1] - 62:22
 Stradley [1] - 11:16
 STRADLEY [1] - 6:9
 straight [1] - 47:2
 straightforward [1] -
36:18
 street [2] - 32:24,
34:20
 Street [12] - 4:15,
4:19, 4:22, 5:11, 5:15,
5:19, 5:23, 6:3, 6:7,
6:10, 6:19, 7:9
 Streets [1] - 5:4
 strict [1] - 54:21
 strident [1] - 56:8
 strongly [4] - 28:15,
32:9, 38:5, 41:6
```

```
subject [3] - 50:16,
50:22, 54:22
 sublets [1] - 20:20
 submit [2] - 49:22,
 submitted [2] - 28:2,
67:21
 substantial [1] - 27:1
 substantive[1] -
49:12
 sues [1] - 20:22
 suggest [3] - 52:19,
57:17, 63:4
 suggested [1] - 30:9
 Suite [6] - 5:11, 5:15,
5:23, 6:3, 6:7, 6:10
 suite [1] - 7:4
 super [2] - 58:7,
58:13
 supervising [1] -
17:21
 supplement [3] -
68:23, 68:24
 suppose [4] - 12:14,
26:10, 33:24, 34:2
 surprise [1] - 55:14
 sword [4] - 40:11,
55:12, 55:13, 62:9
 system [1] - 15:3
           Т
```

task [1] - 21:20 ten [6] - 24:23, 34:18, 35:3, 42:20, 48:12, 57:14 ten-count [1] - 24:23 terms [5] - 15:18, 24:11, 33:22, 48:20, 69:15 test [6] - 19:7, 19:8, 34:16, 47:1, 47:3, 50:9 testimony [2] - 16:5, 16:7 tests [1] - 47:10 THE [157] - 1:1, 1:2, 4:11, 8:2, 9:10, 9:19, 9:22, 10:15, 10:19, 11:5, 11:25, 12:10, 13:2, 13:7, 13:23, 14:6, 14:19, 15:1, 15:6, 15:12, 15:16, 17:7, 17:14, 17:24, 20:3, 20:11, 20:19, 21:3, 21:9, 21:13, 23:3, 23:5, 23:10, 23:13, 23:20, 24:5, 24:10, 24:13, 24:14,

6:21

thoroughly [1] - 24:2

24:15, 24:17, 24:19, 24:20, 25:1, 25:9, 25:12, 25:15, 25:17, 26:8, 26:13, 26:15, 26:18, 28:4, 28:11, 28:18, 31:10, 31:15, 31:23, 32:12, 33:1, 33:8, 33:12, 33:20, 34:15, 34:24, 35:2, 35:6, 35:15, 35:18, 35:22, 36:2, 36:10, 36:14, 36:23, 38:23, 38:25, 39:4, 39:13, 39:22, 40:9, 41:13, 41:15, 41:19, 41:21, 42:12, 42:20, 43:24, 44:7, 44:13, 45:3, 45:7, 45:11, 45:14, 46:13, 47:2, 47:8, 48:8, 49:1, 49:7, 49:14, 51:12, 51:20, 51:23, 52:15, 52:25, 53:8, 53:14, 53:22, 53:24, 54:3, 54:13, 54:16, 55:11, 55:18, 55:21, 55:23, 56:1, 56:3, 56:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:23, 57:3, 57:5, 57:13, 57:15, 57:18, 57:21, 57:24, 58:2, 58:5, 58:19, 58:25, 59:2, 60:23, 61:4, 61:8, 62:8, 62:11, 62:19, 62:20, 63:1, 63:5, 63:9, 64:4, 64:9, 64:22, 65:11, 65:18, 65:23, 66:13, 66:17, 67:1, 67:6, 67:16, 68:7, 68:15 themselves [3] -38:12, 65:13, 66:2 theoretical [1] - 66:2 theories [1] - 20:13 theory [2] - 20:24, 34:16 thereabouts [1] -39:10 therefore [1] - 50:3 they've [3] - 8:7, 32:4, 53:9 Third [6] - 46:9, 46:11, 47:14, 50:20, 52:11, 56:15 third [2] - 20:15, 54:9 third-party [1] - 54:9 THOMAS [1] - 6:21 Thomas [2] - 12:5 tHOMAS [2] - 5:15,

unfortunately [1] -

unhappy [1] - 23:13

unintended [1] -

unique [3] - 12:15,

UNITED [1] - 1:1

unknowable [1] -

unless [2] - 24:3,

unmistakable [1] -

unsettled [2] - 20:3,

unspeakable [1] -

unstated [1] - 13:23

untimeliness [2] -

untimely [2] - 55:4,

unusual [1] - 28:18

up [29] - 8:3, 8:23,

10:14, 17:24, 18:19,

23:14. 23:21. 25:2.

31:11, 31:15, 32:22,

41:20, 47:11, 52:18,

36:5, 41:7, 41:19,

54:1, 54:5, 54:24,

56:17, 57:6, 58:20,

63:25, 65:6, 65:20,

65:21, 67:2, 69:18

useful [1] - 36:6

usual [2] - 23:20,

24:6

unsecured [1] -

54:11, 59:22

61:10

32:8

21:9

32:15

54:21

13:24

39:23

52:10

58:16

55:10

54:12, 61:7

three [3] - 13:16, 18:20, 29:24 thrill [1] - 8:9 throws [1] - 64:5 tight [1] - 26:16 time-stamped [1] -61:21 timeliness [1] - 62:7 timely [5] - 29:1, 29:3, 43:17, 56:21, 62:2 timesheets [1] - 8:12 timing [8] - 15:19, 24:12. 39:2. 41:5. 55:13, 57:8, 68:19, 69:15 Title [3] - 24:23, 46:5 today [3] - 8:6, 32:8, 65:16 together [1] - 28:7 Tom [1] - 10:10 tomorrow [2] -32:21, 39:17 took [1] - 17:5 tort [6] - 20:21, 28:2, 33:6, 37:23, 52:23, 60:7 tote [1] - 31:11 toting [1] - 31:15 toured [1] - 16:4 Tower [1] - 6:18 tracked [1] - 16:25 trafficked [1] - 63:15 trafficking [6] -18:21, 21:2, 36:19, 42:5, 52:17, 59:20 Trafficking [1] -63:13 Transcript [1] - 7:11 transcript [1] - 70:3 transfer [2] - 30:14, 30:18 translucent [1] -46:13 transpired [1] -17:13 trial [40] - 13:19, 13:20, 14:5, 14:13, 14:14, 14:15, 14:20, 15:20, 16:1, 16:5, 16:11, 16:12, 16:16, 16:20, 17:18, 21:18, 21:23, 22:17, 23:2, 29:9, 29:12, 30:4, 30:8, 30:10, 30:13, 33:16, 33:17, 38:7, 39:7, 40:7, 40:23, 40:25, 41:7, 44:16, 53:13, 59:11, 59:13, 59:15

trials [1] - 44:18 trick [1] - 57:5 tried [9] - 14:14, 14:19, 18:9, 18:24, 21:7, 22:25, 33:7, 33:10, 55:2 trier [1] - 47:25 true [5] - 32:1, 34:25, 35:1, 48:17, 64:11 trust [1] - 40:22 try [8] - 30:4, 32:20, 41:3, 41:12, 45:7, 45:12, 60:2, 60:14 trying [3] - 14:4, 28:7, 33:20 Tsai [1] - 16:2 Tuesday [6] - 57:21, 57:22, 58:17, 68:18, 69:11, 69:12 tunc [2] - 56:24, 62:1 turn [1] - 25:15 twice [1] - 14:20 two [8] - 15:18, 17:5, 17:6, 32:21, 39:1, 43:7, 49:25, 54:25 type [3] - 51:19, 65:15, 69:2 types [1] - 20:9

U

U.S [1] - 7:9

51:4

25:21

U.S.C [8] - 12:25,

44:17, 45:23, 50:24,

UFVS [3] - 5:5, 10:3,

ultimately [1] - 30:2

13:1, 34:7, 43:11,

umbrella [2] - 26:19, 56:19 uncomfortable [1] -8:5 vacating [1] - 24:21 uncouple [1] - 42:23 **VALENTINI** [1] - 6:2 undeniable [1] - 48:9 valid [1] - 53:21 under [22] - 19:24, value [2] - 27:3, 25:25, 26:8, 26:19, 29:23 28:3, 41:5, 43:11, 46:2, 46:4, 50:8, various [5] - 8:17, 31:3, 42:7, 42:10, 50:25, 51:4, 54:20, 56:19, 57:10, 59:20, 58.9 vehicle [1] - 20:8 59:21, 61:11, 61:24, 63:13, 63:17, 68:25 venue [5] - 14:17, undercut [1] - 38:17 15:4, 16:15, 30:14, underpinning [1] -30:18 62:12 verdict [8] - 8:8, 8:9, understood [1] -8:24, 27:2, 27:15, 32:22, 40:24, 65:16 62:10 verdicts [1] - 48:16 undisputed [1] -

verge [1] - 16:11 verified [1] - 21:25 version [1] - 61:21 versus [1] - 50:19 vested [1] - 13:14 via [1] - 7:11 victims [1] - 60:9 videotaped [1] - 16:6 view [4] - 28:21, 31:22, 34:12, 56:8 viewed [1] - 51:18 virtually [1] - 40:11 vocal [1] - 9:13 void [4] - 44:22, 50:3, 50:18, 51:6 voluntarily [1] -38:10 vs [10] - 1:6, 1:12, 1:19, 2:5, 2:11, 2:18, 3:5, 3:12, 3:19, 4:4

W

WAGNER [1] - 5:15

Wagner [1] - 10:10

wait [2] - 23:15,

wants [2] - 53:24,

WARNER [1] - 5:14

watching [1] - 8:9

wash [1] - 37:2

water [1] - 40:5

ways [1] - 33:17

weeks [1] - 32:22

wedding [1] - 57:25

58:20

68:15

weigh [2] - 31:9, 34:12 weighs [5] - 31:19, 33:22, 33:23, 52:8, 53:6 well-known [1] -18:21 well-settled [1] -36:21 West [2] - 5:19, 6:18 wherewithal [1] whoever's [1] - 8:3 willing [1] - 15:19 win [1] - 37:25 window [3] - 54:18, 54:19, 56:6 wish [1] - 9:1 withdrew [1] - 21:22 witness [1] - 24:16 women [1] - 13:15 word [1] - 45:12 works [2] - 9:2,

25:12 world [3] - 10:15, 18:20, 65:4 Worldwide [3] - 5:9, 10:6. 10:8 WORLDWIDE [4] -2:19, 3:6, 3:13, 3:20 worst [1] - 34:18 worth [1] - 29:24 wow [1] - 55:14 writing [1] - 57:1 written [1] - 29:17 Wyndham [30] - 5:9, 5:12. 10:6. 10:7. 11:22, 11:23, 18:18, 18:19, 41:18, 41:22, 41:24, 43:8, 45:1, 45:15, 45:22, 49:17, 49:21, 50:1, 50:21, 51:3, 52:2, 54:15, 55:8, 61:3, 61:15, 67:14, 67:20, 67:22 WYNDHAM[4] -2:19, 3:6, 3:13, 3:20 Wyndham's [1] -54:10

Υ

Yagna [3] - 5:5, 10:4, 25:22
year [2] - 22:2, 29:14
year-plus [1] - 22:2
years [6] - 13:12, 16:20, 18:12, 22:7, 22:17, 39:6
yesterday [1] - 55:9
yikes [3] - 39:16, 39:17
YOUNG [1] - 6:9
Young [1] - 11:16
young [1] - 13:15
yourself [1] - 69:8

§

§ [2] - 34:7, 50:24 **§§** [1] - 45:23